

Medical School Graduation Questionnaire

2018 Individual School Report

Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center Paul L. Foster School of Medicine

July 2018

Association of American Medical Colleges

Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	1
Demographic Data	5
Overall Satisfaction with Medical Education	6
Basic Science Education	6
Clinical Experiences	10
Quality of Clerkships	10
Core Clerkship Activities	12
Preparedness for Residency	19
Veterans Affairs	20
Electives	21
Benefits of Diversity	22
Educational Environment	23
Learning Environment Scales	23
Professionalism of Faculty	23
Personal and Professional Development	26
Personal Characteristics	27
Tolerance for Ambiguity Scale	27
Interpersonal Reactivity Index	27
Oldenburg Burnout Inventory for Medical Students Scale	28
Career Plans and Interests	28
Career Activities	28
Area of Practice (Specialty Choice)	29
Work Location	34
Plans to Serve Underserved Areas/Populations	35
Student Affairs and School Services	36
Offices of the Deans	36
Student Support, Services, and Facilities	37
Mistreatment Policies	40
Behaviors Experienced During Medical School	41
Financing of Education	47
References	51

© 2018 Association of American Medical Colleges. May be reproduced and distributed, with attribution, for noncommercial purpose of scientific or educational advancement.

2018 AAMC Medical School Graduation Questionnaire All Schools Summary Report & Individual School Report

Executive Summary

Background

The *All Schools Summary Report* of the 2018 Medical School Graduation Questionnaire (GQ) provides aggregate data from graduates of U.S. MD-granting medical schools accredited by the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME). The *All Schools Summary Report* is made available to the public. In addition, each accredited medical school receives separately an *Individual School Report* showing data from its graduating students who responded to the GQ, with comparisons to the national data. By request, regional and clinical campuses under the aegis of an accredited institution are also issued GQ campus reports if there are five or more student respondents from the campus. Eighty-three percent (16,223) of medical school graduates in academic year 2017-2018 (19,537) participated in the 2018 GQ.

The GQ was established in 1978 as a method for the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC), medical schools, and other organizations to identify and address issues critical to the future of medical education and the well-being of medical students. These issues include: students' satisfaction with their educational program's ability to prepare them for residency; students' career and specialty plans; the costs of medical education; and students' experiences of mistreatment in the learning environment. Since its inception, the GQ has been a tool used by medical schools, faculty, students, researchers, and the LCME for benchmarking and improving medical education.

The attached report displays five years of data, 2014 through 2018, where comparable data are available.

Methodology

The data in the 2018 GQ *All Schools Summary Report* reflect the responses of 16,223 graduates of the 141 U.S. medical schools that graduated students in the 2017-2018 academic year. According to the AAMC Student Records System (SRS) as of July 9, 2018, these 16,223 respondents represent 83.0% of the 19,537 medical students who graduated from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. Survey data for participating individuals may not be comparable to data for nonparticipants. The 2018 results include responses from the first graduating class at Western Michigan University Homer Stryker M.D. School of Medicine.

The 2018 GQ was open for participation from February 14, 2018 through June 8, 2018. The initial participants were individuals with expected graduation dates between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018 as identified by SRS data and confirmed by medical school personnel in January 2018. While the survey was open, medical schools could request changes to the list of eligible participants to reflect changes in expected graduation status. Through a variety of measures, medical schools independently encouraged graduating students to participate. The AAMC also sent email invitations and monthly reminders to eligible students.

Percentages displayed in the reports may not sum to 100 due to rounding or to collection formats permitting more than one response. Where the reports appear to have missing columns, rows, or blank spaces within rows, these correspond to unavailable data for a particular survey item in a given year, usually due to changes in when the survey item was offered, or to alterations to the item affecting the comparability of the data. These are to be distinguished from data with a displayed percent of '0.0', which correspond to real survey response options that were selected by no, or very few, respondents.



Selected Findings

Graduates Report Satisfaction with Their Medical School Education and Development as Physicians

Just under 90% of medical school graduates who responded to the 2018 GQ either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "Overall, I am satisfied with the quality of my medical education" (89.3%). The 2018 graduates also affirmed their readiness for the next phase of their training, with 90.7% stating they agreed or strongly agreed they "have acquired the clinical skills required to begin a residency program."

The majority (91.8%) of 2018 medical school graduates who responded to the GQ agreed or strongly agreed that "My medical school has done a good job of fostering and nurturing my development as a future physician", and 72.1% agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "My medical school has done a good job of fostering and nurturing my development as a person."

Percentage of Students Reporting Clinical Observation and Feedback Continues to Increase

In 2018, there were small increases in the share of graduating medical students who reported that, during core clerkships, they were observed taking patient histories and performing patient exams. Similar increases were also seen in the number of graduates who confirmed that they received mid-clerkship feedback. These increases were seen in all six core clerkships and extend gains observed in previous years.

Students Increase Engagement in Research Activities

A majority of medical school graduates who responded to the 2018 GQ indicated they had participated in a research project with a faculty member (78.8% in 2018, compared to 69.3% in 2014). Similarly, more than half reported authoring a peer-reviewed article submitted for publication (50.5%, compared to 42.0% in 2014) or authoring a peer-reviewed poster or oral presentation (56.7%, compared to 43.6% in 2014).

Graduates Report Higher Approval with Electives Advice

The portion of 2018 medical school graduates who said they agree or strongly agree that they "received appropriate guidance in the selection of electives" was 71.1%. Those who said they "strongly agree" has risen in recent years. In 2018, the portion who stated they "strongly agree" was 26.7%; by comparison, in 2014 the portion was 18.2%.

Student Diversity Enhances Training, More Graduates Agree

The benefits of having a diverse student body have been increasingly confirmed by the medical school graduates of recent years. In the 2018 GQ, 31.8% of respondents said they "strongly agree" that "the diversity within my medical school class enhanced my training and skills to work with individuals from different backgrounds." As a comparison, in 2014, respondents who strongly agreed totaled 22.5%. Relatedly, by an even larger share, 2018 medical school graduates expressed appreciation for the value of being exposed to diverse populations. More than eight of every ten (86.1%) respondents to the 2018 GQ said they agree or strongly agree that their knowledge was "influenced or changed by becoming more aware of the perspectives of individuals from different backgrounds."

Medical School Debt Stays Constant, and Total Educational Debt Rises

Just under 28% of respondents to the 2018 GQ reported graduating with no medical school debt (27.7%). The share of medical school graduates who report no medical school debt has grown steadily in recent years; in 2014, the share was 17.4%. For those graduating with debt, the median medical school debt reported was \$195,000, an increase of 8.3% over the median reported by 2017 graduates (\$180,000). For total educational debt, the sum of premedical educational debt and medical school debt, the median reported by indebted students in 2018 was

\$200,000. Over 45% of those carrying debt said they plan to enter a loan forgiveness program (45.7%). Of those who plan to enter a loan forgiveness program, three quarters of respondents identified the Department of Education's Public Loan Forgiveness Program (76.3%) in their repayment plans.

As an Influence on Medical Specialty Choice, Debt Weakens

The percentage of medical school graduates who said their educational debt affected their choice of medical specialty has decreased continuously in recent years. In 2018, over 55% of respondents to the GQ said that debt had "no influence" on specialty choice (55.3%); 21.3% allowed that debt had either a "strong" (6.3%) or "moderate" (15.0%) influence.

The strongest influence on specialty choice reported in the 2018 GQ was "Fit with personality, interests, and skills" as 87.6% of respondents indicated that this had a "strong influence." Similarly, "Content of specialty" was endorsed by 83.8% of respondents as having a strong influence. The third most frequently cited "strong influence" on specialty choice by 2018 graduates was "Role model influence" (50.8%). These have been the most frequently cited influences on specialty choice by each of the past five classes of medical school graduates.

In Career Plans, Caring for the Underserved Strengthens

The percentage of medical school graduates who "plan to care primarily for an underserved population" increased in 2018, to 34.7% of GQ respondents. This number has grown by seven points since 2014 (27.3%). The share of new graduates who "plan to work primarily in an underserved area" also increased slightly. Among 2018 GQ respondents, 26.4% said they planned to work in underserved areas, up from 25.5% last year.

Awareness of Mistreatment Policies and Procedures Continues to Climb

Over 97% of respondents to the 2018 GQ said they were aware their "school has policies regarding the mistreatment of medical students" (97.5%). This is up slightly from 2017 (97.0%). Similarly, when asked about the procedures at their school for reporting mistreatment, 88.1% of 2018 graduates said they knew about such procedures, up nearly 10 points compared to 2014 (78.6%).

Indicators of Mistreatment Experienced by Medical Students Increase

The medical school graduates of 2018 were slightly more likely than previous classes to state that they personally experienced negative behaviors directed at them while in medical school. The GQ asks respondents to indicate how frequently they experienced any of 16 types of behaviors, including public humiliation, derogatory remarks, and discrimination based on gender, race, sexual orientation, or other personal traits or beliefs. The percentage of 2018 medical school graduates who reported experiencing "once" or more any of these behaviors (excluding "embarrassment") during their time in medical school was 42.1%, up 2.8 points over what was reported by 2017 graduates (39.3%).

Medical School Graduates Self-Report Their Marital Status, Gender, Sexual Orientation

Just under a quarter of U.S. medical school graduates reported being legally married (24.3%). Fewer than one in ten (8.3%) reported having dependents other than a spouse. Sixty-seven individuals among 15,299 responding (0.4%) self-identified as having a gender identity that was different from the sex they were assigned at birth. Medical school graduates who identified as "heterosexual or straight" were 93.2% of respondents, those who identified as "gay or lesbian" were 3.9% of respondents, and those who identified as "bisexual" were 2.9%.



Copies of the GQ survey are available at www.aamc.org/gq.

Providing Feedback

For inquiries or feedback regarding the GQ surveys or reports, contact gq@aamc.org.



2018 Medical School	Graduation	Questionnaire
---------------------	------------	---------------

20	18 Medical School Graduation Questionnaire						111111
			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	201
	Total number of students who responded to the questionnaire:	49	63	68	79	81	16,22
	Sex:						
	Note: The data are from other AAMC data sources.						_
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perce
	Male	51.0	50.8	51.5	58.2	45.7	50
	Female	49.0	49.2	48.5	41.8	54.3	49
	Number of respondents	49	63	68	79	81	16,2
	Age at graduation:						
	Note: The data are from other AAMC data sources.	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perc
	Under 24	0.0 32.7	0.0 39.7	1.5 39.7	0.0 30.4	0.0 39.5	3
	24 through 26 27 through 29	32.7 42.9	39.7 44.4	39.7 38.2		39.5 39.5	4
	30 through 32	42.9	44.4	5.9	44.3 8.9	39.5 13.6	4
	Over 32	14.3	4.8	5.9 14.7	0.9 16.5	7.4	1
	Number of respondents	49	63	68	79	81	16,2
	not sum to 100% as multiple responses were allowe Race/ethnicity data are only displayed for U.S. citize						
	permanent residents.	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perce
	American Indian or Alaska Native	<u>1 0100111</u> 0.0	<u>1.6</u>	<u>1 0100111</u> 0.0	<u>1 0100111</u> 0.0	<u>1 0100111</u> 0.0	<u>1 0100</u>
	Asian	32.7	47.6	29.4	24.4	16.0	2
	Black or African American	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	2
	Hispanic, Latino, or of Spanish origin	8.2	4.8	16.2	20.5	28.4	
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.2	
	White	61.2	55.6	70.6	62.8	61.7	6
	Other	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	-
	Non-US citizen and Non-permanent resident	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Number of respondents	49	63	68	78	81	16,0
	What is your current marital status?						
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perce
	Single (never legally married)			68.3	67.5	70.1	74
	Legally married			31.7	24.7	27.3	2
	Common law or civil union			0.0	2.6	0.0	(
	Divorced			0.0	5.2	1.3	(
	Separated, but still legally married			0.0	0.0	1.3	(
	Widewood			0.0	0.0	0.0	

0.0



		Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
5. How many dependents do you have (not including a spouse/partner)?						
· · · /	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
None			84.1	89.6	90.8	91.7
One			6.3	7.8	2.6	4.9
Тwo			9.5	2.6	2.6	2.3
Three			0.0	0.0	1.3	0.8
Four or more			0.0	0.0	2.6	0.4
Number of respondents			63	77	76	15,301
5. Type of degree program:						
Note: The data are from the AAMC Student Records	System.					
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
MD	100.0	100.0	95.6	94.9	96.3	90.9
Joint BA/MD	0.0	0.0	4.4	3.8	3.7	2.6
Joint MD/MBA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
Joint MD/MPH	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7
Joint MD/PhD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
Joint MD/Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.0
Number of respondents	49	63	68	79	81	16,223

7. Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statement:

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating					
	_	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
Overall, I am satisfied with	ith the quality	/ of my medica	al education				
All Medical Schools	2018	1.0	3.1	6.6	51.0	38.3	16,153
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	3.7	4.9	59.3	32.1	81
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	1.3	2.6	64.1	32.1	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	4.4	1.5	60.3	33.8	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.2	6.5	46.8	43.5	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	0.0	4.2	54.2	39.6	48

8. Based on your experiences, indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements about medical school:

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating					
	-	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
Basic science coursewo	rk had suffic	ient illustration	s of clinical releva	ance.			
All Medical Schools	2018	1.3	7.7	13.8	53.1	24.1	16,078
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	1.3	52.5	43.8	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	1.3	5.1	52.6	41.0	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	2.9	5.9	51.5	39.7	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	1.6	4.8	48.4	43.5	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	2.1	2.1	52.1	41.7	48

8. Based on your experiences, indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements about medical school: (Continued)

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating					
	-	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
Required clinical experie	ences integra	ted basic scie	nce content.				
All Medical Schools	2018	0.7	4.6	14.1	55.1	25.6	16,028
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	3.8	6.3	59.5	30.4	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	2.6	7.7	56.4	33.3	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	2.9	4.4	55.9	36.8	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	3.2	4.8	50.8	39.7	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	2.1	0.0	62.5	33.3	48

9. How well did your study of the following sciences basic to medicine prepare you for clinical clerkships and electives?

Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

		Percentage	e of Respondent	s Selecting Eac	h Rating	_
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Count
Biochemistry						
All Medical Schools	2018	10.0	27.7	40.1	22.2	15,780
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.6	24.4	44.9	28.2	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	13.9	38.0	48.1	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	2.9	14.7	27.9	54.4	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	3.2	9.7	43.5	43.5	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	6.3	14.6	39.6	39.6	48
Biostatistics and epidem	iology					
All Medical Schools	2018	7.5	23.9	42.6	26.0	15,897
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	6.3	16.3	41.3	36.3	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	25.6	50.0	23.1	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	16.4	38.8	37.3	7.5	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	7.9	27.0	39.7	25.4	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	18.8	18.8	37.5	25.0	48
Genetics						
All Medical Schools	2018	5.2	23.4	47.2	24.2	15,915
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	3.8	27.8	39.2	29.1	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	24.1	44.3	31.6	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	4.4	26.5	48.5	20.6	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	3.2	17.5	47.6	31.7	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.2	22.9	52.1	20.8	48
Gross anatomy						
All Medical Schools	2018	3.3	10.5	33.6	52.6	16,005
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	33.8	30.0	22.5	13.8	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	21.5	35.4	25.3	17.7	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	38.2	27.9	23.5	10.3	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	20.6	33.3	27.0	19.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	25.0	31.3	31.3	12.5	48

9. How well did your study of the following sciences basic to medicine prepare you for clinical clerkships and electives?

Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts. (Continued)

		Percentage	e of Respondent	s Selecting Eac	h Rating	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Count
Immunology						
All Medical Schools	2018	3.5	15.5	45.6	35.5	15,973
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	12.5	45.0	41.3	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	6.3	36.7	57.0	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	13.4	29.9	56.7	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	4.8	1.6	34.9	58.7	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	4.3	41.3	54.3	46
Introduction to Clinical M	ledicine/Introdu	ction to the Patient				
All Medical Schools	2018	1.8	6.7	30.0	61.5	15,759
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	2.6	20.8	75.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	2.6	32.1	65.4	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	4.5	23.9	71.6	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.3	19.7	77.0	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2013	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	45
Microanatomy/Histology						
All Medical Schools	2018	6.8	22.1	41.5	29.7	15,880
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	3.8	23.1	50.0	23.1	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	0.0	19.0	46.8	34.2	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	4.5	19.7	36.4	39.4	66
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	3.2	25.4	47.6	23.8	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	4.2	10.4	56.3	29.2	48
Microbiology						
All Medical Schools	2018	3.8	12.6	38.9	44.8	15,972
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	5.0	22.5	35.0	37.5	. 80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.8	16.5	53.2	26.6	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	8.8	22.1	33.8	35.3	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	15.9	28.6	39.7	15.9	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	6.3	22.9	41.7	29.2	48
Neuroscience						
All Medical Schools	2018	4.0	12.4	38.3	45.2	15,983
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.5	8.8	51.3	37.5	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	8.9	38.0	53.2	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	10.3	35.3	52.9	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	6.3	19.0	44.4	30.2	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	6.4	12.8	51.1	29.8	47
Pathology						
All Medical Schools	2018	2.6	12.5	40.1	44.9	15,921
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	12.5	26.3	61.3	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	7.6	27.8	64.6	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	13.4	85.1	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	4.8	16.1	79.0	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	0.0	29.2	68.8	48



9. How well did your study of the following sciences basic to medicine prepare you for clinical clerkships and electives?

Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts. (Continued)

		Percentage	e of Respondent	s Selecting Eac	h Rating	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Count
Pharmacology						
All Medical Schools	2018	7.3	17.0	38.5	37.2	15,985
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	5.0	36.3	33.8	25.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	7.6	24.1	35.4	32.9	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	4.4	17.6	47.1	30.9	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	15.9	23.8	41.3	19.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	27.1	22.9	33.3	16.7	48
Physiology						
All Medical Schools	2018	2.1	8.1	37.0	52.8	15,968
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	6.3	7.5	36.3	50.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	10.1	45.6	43.0	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	11.8	39.7	47.1	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	3.2	16.1	37.1	43.5	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	12.5	54.2	33.3	48
Behavioral science						
All Medical Schools	2018	2.5	11.3	43.6	42.6	15,770
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	11.4	35.4	51.9	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	3.8	47.4	47.4	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	17.6	29.4	52.9	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	9.5	38.1	50.8	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.2	8.3	50.0	37.5	48
Pathophysiology of dise	ase					
All Medical Schools	2018	1.0	5.5	35.4	58.0	15,828
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	6.3	27.8	64.6	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	3.8	29.1	67.1	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	4.4	27.9	67.6	68
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	25.4	74.6	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.1	35.4	62.5	48

10. Rate the quality of your educational experiences in the following clerkships. If you participated in an integrated clerkship, please answer this question in terms of your educational experience in each discipline. If you had no clinical experiences in the discipline, select "Not applicable." Note: "Not applicable" responses are not included in the report calculations and counts.

		Percentage	e of Respondent	s Selecting Eac	h Rating	_
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Count
Emergency Medicine						
All Medical Schools	2018	3.6	9.0	32.0	55.5	11,821
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.5	10.4	28.4	59.7	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	2.8	4.2	35.2	57.7	71
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.4	34.5	62.1	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	1.8	23.6	74.5	55
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.4	0.0	24.4	73.2	41
Family Medicine						
All Medical Schools	2018	3.6	11.7	31.2	53.6	15,355
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	11.3	37.5	50.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.8	10.1	40.5	45.6	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	7.5	37.3	53.7	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	3.2	27.4	67.7	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.1	8.2	40.8	46.9	49
Internal Medicine						
All Medical Schools	2018	1.8	7.1	29.5	61.6	16,046
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	13.8	40.0	45.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	7.6	35.4	57.0	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	3.0	17.9	38.8	40.3	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	11.3	37.1	50.0	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	4.1	38.8	55.1	49
Neurology						
All Medical Schools	2018	5.7	16.5	36.4	41.4	14,539
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	13.0	27.5	33.3	26.1	69
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	8.6	11.4	40.0	40.0	70
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	6.9	20.7	36.2	36.2	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.8	43.4	52.8	53
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.4	7.3	39.0	51.2	41
Obstetrics-Gynecology/	Nomen's Health					
All Medical Schools	2018	6.8	13.9	33.4	45.9	16,033
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	17.5	23.8	38.8	20.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	12.7	49.4	38.0	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	7.5	29.9	61.2	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	9.7	37.1	51.6	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	6.1	10.2	32.7	51.0	49
Pediatrics						
All Medical Schools	2018	3.2	10.3	32.8	53.8	16,045
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.5	10.0	25.0	62.5	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	5.1	38.0	57.0	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	7.6	21.2	71.2	66
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	8.1	32.3	59.7	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	8.3	14.6	29.2	47.9	48

10. Rate the quality of your educational experiences in the following clerkships. If you participated in an integrated clerkship, please answer this question in terms of your educational experience in each discipline. If you had no clinical experiences in the discipline, select "Not applicable." Note: "Not applicable" responses are not included in the report calculations and counts. (Continued)

		Percentage	Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating						
		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Count			
Psychiatry									
All Medical Schools	2018	2.5	9.6	33.3	54.6	16,036			
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	5.0	16.3	36.3	42.5	80			
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.8	10.1	48.1	38.0	79			
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	13.4	35.8	49.3	67			
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	6.5	33.9	58.1	62			
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	12.2	36.7	49.0	49			
Surgery									
All Medical Schools	2018	5.1	13.1	34.8	47.0	16,024			
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	5.1	13.9	35.4	45.6	79			
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	5.1	10.1	46.8	38.0	79			
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	10.4	25.4	29.9	34.3	67			
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	16.1	17.7	25.8	40.3	62			
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	8.2	14.3	38.8	38.8	49			

			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
C	Clerkship Experiences: Family Medicine	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
F	amily Medicine: Were you observed taking	the relevant portions of	the patien	t history?			
Y	/es	93.9	100.0	97.0	93.7	92.5	91.0
Ν	No	6.1	0.0	3.0	6.3	7.5	9.0
N	Number of respondents	49	62	67	79	80	15,293
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	<u>Percer</u>
	Family Medicine: Were you observed perfor exam?	ming the relevant portior	ns of the p	hysical or	mental st	atus	
Y	/es	89.8	98.4	95.5	92.4	96.3	0.2
	le la	10.2	4.0				92.
Ν	NO	10.2	1.6	4.5	7.6	3.8	
	Number of respondents	49	1.6 62	4.5 67	7.6 79	3.8 80	7.3
							7.3
N		49 <u>Percent</u>	62	67	79	80	7.3
F	Number of respondents	49 <u>Percent</u>	62	67	79	80	7.3 15,228 <u>Percen</u>
N F Y	Number of respondents Family Medicine: Were you provided with m	49 <u>Percent</u> id-clerkship feedback?	62 <u>Percent</u>	67 <u>Percent</u>	79 <u>Percent</u>	80 <u>Percent</u>	92.7 7.3 15,228 <u>Percent</u> 95.2 4.8

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating										
	-	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count					
Family Medicine: Faculty provided effective teaching during the clerkship												
All Medical Schools	2018	2.1	3.7	8.7	34.1	51.3	15,238					
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	2.5	40.5	54.4	79					
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	5.1	9.0	42.3	42.3	78					
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.0	7.5	31.3	58.2	67					
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	1.6	3.3	31.1	62.3	61					

Family Medicine: Residents provided effective teaching during the clerkship Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

2.0

2.0

44.9

46.9

4.1

2014

Texas Tech-Foster

All Medical Schools	2018	2.2	3.4	9.1	32.8	52.5	8,215
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	10.0	7.5	47.5	35.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.8	5.1	9.0	42.3	39.7	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	4.5	14.9	34.3	44.8	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	4.9	6.6	39.3	49.2	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.2	0.0	8.3	37.5	50.0	48

49



		Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
Clerkship Experiences: Internal Medi	2014 cine	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Internal Medicine: Were you observed ta	king the relevant portions of	the patie	nt history	?		
Yes	95.9	98.4	92.5	94.9	95.0	93.9
No	4.1	1.6	7.5	5.1	5.0	6.1
Number of respondents	49	62	67	79	80	15,972
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	<u>Percen</u>
Internal Medicine: Were you observed pe exam?	erforming the relevant portio	ns of the	ohysical o	r mental s	tatus	
Yes	93.9	98.4	92.5	96.2	93.8	94.8
	93.9 6.1	98.4 1.6	92.5 7.5	96.2 3.8	93.8 6.3	
Yes						5.2
Yes No	6.1	1.6	7.5	3.8	6.3	5.2
Yes No	6.1 49 <u>Percent</u>	1.6 62	7.5 67	3.8 79	6.3 80	5.2
Yes No Number of respondents	6.1 49 <u>Percent</u>	1.6 62	7.5 67	3.8 79	6.3 80	5.2 15,905 <u>Percen</u>
Yes No Number of respondents Internal Medicine: Were you provided wit	6.1 49 <u>Percent</u> th mid-clerkship feedback?	1.6 62 <u>Percent</u>	7.5 67 <u>Percent</u>	3.8 79 <u>Percent</u>	6.3 80 <u>Percent</u>	94.8 5.2 15,905 <u>Percen</u> 98.0 2.0

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating					
Count	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
			e clerkship	aching during th	vided effective tea		
			e clerkship	aching during th	vided effective tea		

All Medical Schools	2018	1.1	1.9	4.9	27.9	64.2	15,938
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	7.6	32.9	58.2	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	3.8	39.7	55.1	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	4.5	10.4	46.3	38.8	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	8.2	42.6	49.2	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	4.1	0.0	30.6	63.3	49

Internal Medicine: Residents provided effective teaching during the clerkship Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

All Medical Schools	2018	1.0	1.9	4.3	24.7	68.2	15,321
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	8.8	6.3	40.0	45.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	2.6	43.6	52.6	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	11.9	14.9	35.8	35.8	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	1.6	13.1	24.6	60.7	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	2.1	2.1	37.5	56.3	48



			Тех	as Tech-Fo	oster		All
		201	4 201	5 2016	2017	2018	201
Clerkship Experiences	s: Neurology						
		Percel	nt <u>Perce</u>	nt Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Neurology: Were you ob	served taking the relev	ant portions of the	patient hi	story?			
Yes		87.	8 90	.6 74.6	87.0	68.6	84.5
No		12	2 9	.4 25.4	13.0	31.4	15.8
Number of respondents		4	1 5	53 59	69	70	14,478
		Perce	nt <u>Perce</u>	nt Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Neurology: Were you ob	served performing the	relevant portions o	f the phys	ical or men	tal status e	exam?	
Yes		87.	8 96	.2 86.4	91.3	81.2	92.0
No		12	2 3	.8 13.6	8.7	18.8	8.0
Number of respondents		4	1 5	53 59	69	69	14,41
		Percel	nt <u>Perce</u>	nt Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Neurology: Were you pr	ovided with mid-clerksl	hip feedback?					
Yes		90.	2 84	.9 89.8	91.3	85.5	89.2
No		9	8 15	.1 10.2	8.7	14.5	10.8
Number of respondents		4	1 5	53 59	69	69	14,413
	Perce	entage of Respon	dents Se	lecting Ea	ch Rating		
	Strongly					Strongly	_
	disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agre	e	agree	Count

Neurology: Faculty provided effective teaching during the clerkship

All Medical Schools	2018	1.7	4.8	12.0	35.6	45.9	14,439
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.9	12.9	14.3	40.0	30.0	70
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	4.3	4.3	4.3	42.9	44.3	70
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.4	11.9	37.3	47.5	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	1.9	42.3	55.8	52
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.4	4.9	4.9	22.0	65.9	41

Neurology: Residents provided effective teaching during the clerkship Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

All Medical Schools	2018	2.1	5.3	11.7	32.5	48.4	12,067
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.4	8.6	10.0	45.7	34.3	70
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.1	0.0	4.6	47.7	44.6	65
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	27.1	16.7	29.2	27.1	48
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	12.1	21.2	33.3	33.3	33
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	7.1	7.1	17.9	32.1	35.7	28



			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
Clarka	hin Evneriences, Obstatrice Cu	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
Cierks	hip Experiences: Obstetrics-Gy	necology/women's Heal	th				
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Obstetr history	rics-Gynecology/Women's Health: ?	Were you observed taking t	the releva	nt portions	s of the pa	atient	
Yes		85.7	90.3	85.1	86.1	77.5	84.4
No		14.3	9.7	14.9	13.9	22.5	15.0
Number	of respondents	49	62	67	79	80	15,97
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
physica Yes	rics-Gynecology/Women's Health: al or mental status exam?	89.6	93.4	92.5	92.4	88.6	91.
No		10.4	6.6	7.5	7.6	11.4	8.
Number	of respondents	48	61	67	79	79	15,91
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Obstetr	rics-Gynecology/Women's Health:	Were you provided with mi	d-clerkshi	p feedbac	k?		
Yes		93.9	91.9	97.0	96.2	94.9	94.0
No		6.1	8.1	3.0	3.8	5.1	6.
Number	of respondents	49	62	67	79	79	15,910
		Percentage of Responde	onts Solo	cting Eac	h Rating		

			-	-	
Strongly				Strongly	
disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	agree	Count

Obstetrics-Gynecology/Women's Health: Faculty provided effective teaching during the clerkship

All Medical Schools	2018	3.0	6.7	12.6	37.1	40.6	15,944
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	5.0	18.8	18.8	41.3	16.3	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	2.6	3.8	12.8	50.0	30.8	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	7.5	11.9	43.3	35.8	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	13.1	44.3	42.6	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.1	2.0	6.1	57.1	30.6	49

Obstetrics-Gynecology/Women's Health: Residents provided effective teaching during the clerkship Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

All Medical Schools	2018	5.5	8.6	12.2	32.1	41.7	14,258
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	8.8	22.5	16.3	32.5	20.0	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	6.4	6.4	43.6	42.3	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	1.5	18.2	25.8	53.0	66
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	9.8	29.5	60.7	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	6.3	12.5	8.3	33.3	39.6	48



			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
Clerkship Experiences: Pe	diatrics	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	201
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Pediatrics: Were you observe	ed taking the relevant po	ortions of the pa	tient histo	ory?			
Yes No		93.9 6.1	100.0 0.0	100.0 0.0	96.2 3.8	93.8 6.3	92. 7.
Number of respondents		49	62	67	79	80	15,97
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Pediatrics: Were you observe	ed performing the releva	int portions of th	ne physica	al or menta	al status e	xam?	
Yes		91.8	96.8	97.0	96.2	93.8	94.1
No		8.2	3.2	3.0	3.8	6.3	5.9
Number of respondents		49	62	66	79	80	15,90 ⁻
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Pediatrics: Were you provide	d with mid-clerkship fee	edback?					
Yes		98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.7	96.6
No		2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	3.4
Number of respondents		49	62	67	79	79	15,908
	Percentad	e of Responde	ents Sele	cting Eac	h Rating		
	Strongly			5		Strongly	

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
Pediatrics: Faculty pro	ovided effect	tive teaching	during the clerk	ship			
All Medical Schools	2018	1.2	2.7	7.1	34.1	54.8	15,948
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	2.5	6.3	30.0	61.3	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	5.1	38.5	55.1	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	4.5	31.3	64.2	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	11.5	36.1	52.5	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.1	2.0	2.0	49.0	42.9	49

Pediatrics: Residents provided effective teaching during the clerkship Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

All Medical Schools	2018	1.9	3.7	8.2	32.4	53.8	14,421
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	5.0	3.8	32.5	57.5	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	3.8	2.6	37.2	55.1	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	6.0	31.3	61.2	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	3.3	4.9	34.4	55.7	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	6.3	4.2	10.4	41.7	37.5	48



		Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
Clerkship Experiences: Psychiatry	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Psychiatry: Were you observed taking the	relevant portions of the pa	atient histo	ory?			
Yes	91.8	95.2	91.0	88.6	87.5	93.2
No	8.2	4.8	9.0	11.4	12.5	6.8
Number of respondents	49	62	67	79	80	15,968
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Psychiatry: Were you observed performin	g the relevant portions of t	he physic	al or ment	al status e	exam?	
Yes	91.8	93.5	94.0	86.1	87.5	92.5
No	8.2	6.5	6.0	13.9	12.5	7.5
Number of respondents	49	62	67	79	80	15,897
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Psychiatry: Were you provided with mid-	lerkship feedback?					
	98.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.7	94.6
Yes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	5.4
Yes No	2.0	0.0				

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating						
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count	
Psychiatry: Faculty pr	ovided effe	ctive teaching	during the clerl	kship				
All Medical Schools	2018	1.4	3.5	8.7	35.5	50.9	15,926	
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.5	3.8	10.1	40.5	43.0	79	
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	2.6	9.0	52.6	34.6	78	
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	6.0	11.9	34.3	47.8	67	
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	1.6	11.5	41.0	45.9	61	
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	6.3	4.2	39.6	47.9	48	

Psychiatry: Residents provided effective teaching during the clerkship Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

All Medical Schools	2018	1.3	3.7	9.9	34.2	50.9	12,509
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	7.5	8.8	37.5	46.3	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	2.6	2.6	6.4	44.9	43.6	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	7.6	10.6	36.4	45.5	66
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	1.7	8.3	35.0	55.0	60
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	2.1	2.1	45.8	47.9	48

				Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
			2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	201
Clerkship Experiences: Surge	ery							
			Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Surgery: Were you observed tak	king the relevan	t portions of	the patie	nt history	?			
Yes			79.6	80.6	62.1	78.2	71.3	74.
No			20.4	19.4	37.9	21.8	28.8	25.
Number of respondents			49	62	66	78	80	15,96
			Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Surgery: Were you observed pe	rforming the rel	levant portio	ns of the	physical o	or mental s	status exa	ım?	
Yes			81.6	85.5	67.2	83.5	77.5	81.
No			18.4	14.5	32.8	16.5	22.5	19.
Number of respondents			49	62	67	79	80	15,90
			Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percer
Surgery: Were you provided wit	h mid-clerkship	feedback?						
Yes			98.0	93.5	98.5	98.7	96.2	92.
No			2.0	6.5	1.5	1.3	3.8	7.
Number of respondents			49	62	67	79	79	15,90
	Perce	entage of R	esponde	ents Sele	cting Eac	h Rating		
	Strongly						Strongly	
	disagree	Disagree	e N	eutral	Agree	9	agree	Count

Surgery: Faculty provided effective teaching during the clerkship

All Medical Schools	2018	3.4	7.7	14.1	37.0	37.7	15,908
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.5	7.5	11.3	45.0	33.8	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	7.7	15.4	47.4	28.2	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	9.0	20.9	17.9	29.9	22.4	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	6.6	14.8	21.3	31.1	26.2	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.1	8.2	26.5	38.8	22.4	49

Surgery: Residents provided effective teaching during the clerkship Note: Respondents had the option to select "Not applicable"; these data are not included in the report calculations and counts.

All Medical Schools	2018	3.7	6.4	11.1	32.0	46.7	14,636
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	3.8	3.8	5.0	36.3	51.3	80
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.8	7.7	9.0	42.3	37.2	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	6.0	13.4	10.4	37.3	32.8	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	4.9	6.6	14.8	27.9	45.9	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	8.3	8.3	6.3	43.8	33.3	48

12. Indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements about your preparedness for beginning a residency program:

	_	Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	cting Each Ra	ating	
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
I am confident that I hav	e acquired t	ne clinical skills	required to begi	n a residency pr	ogram.		
All Medical Schools	2018	0.5	1.9	6.9	46.5	44.2	15,92
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	5.0	7.5	47.5	40.0	8
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	2.6	0.0	12.8	46.2	38.5	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	3.0	10.4	50.7	34.3	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	3.3	16.4	42.6	36.1	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	2.0	57.1	40.8	4
I have the fundamental u disciplines.	understandir	-		eir management	encountered in	the major clinica	al
All Medical Schools	2018	0.3	1.0	5.1	49.8	43.9	15,91
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	2.5	5.0	52.5	40.0	8
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	5.1	48.7	44.9	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	7.5	56.7	34.3	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	0.0	8.2	54.1	36.1	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.1	44.9	4
I have the communication	on skills nece	essary to intera	ct with patients a	nd health profes	sionals.		
All Medical Schools	2018	0.2	0.1	1.2	22.0	76.4	15,88
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	0.0	23.8	75.0	8
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	1.3	26.9	70.5	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	3.3	26.2	70.5	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	2.0	49.0	49.0	4
I have basic skills in clin	ical decision	making and th	e application of e	evidence based i	nformation to m	edical practice.	
All Medical Schools	2018	0.3	0.7	4.7	43.1	51.3	15,88
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	3.8	3.8	48.8	43.8	8
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	6.4	44.9	47.4	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	4.5	50.7	43.3	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.7	0.0	8.3	43.3	46.7	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	2.0	55.1	42.9	4
I have a fundamental un organization and structu	re of the hea		n).			-	
All Medical Schools	2018	0.4	1.1	4.9	37.4	56.2	15,91
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	5.0	35.0	58.8	8
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	3.8	39.7	55.1	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	7.6	30.3	60.6	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	0.0	4.9	27.9	65.6	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	2.0	51.0	46.9	4
l understand the ethical	and professi		-	f the profession.			
All Medical Schools	2018	0.2	0.2	1.4	25.8	72.4	15,89
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	1.3	26.3	72.5	8
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	0.0	34.6	64.1	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.4	74.6	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	4.9	24.6	70.5	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.0	49.0	4

12. Indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements about your preparedness for beginning a residency program: (Continued)

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	cting Each Ra	ating	
	-	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
I believe I am adequate	y prepared to	o care for patie	ents from different	t backgrounds.			
All Medical Schools	2018	0.3	0.7	3.1	31.8	64.1	15,870
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	1.3	27.8	70.9	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	5.1	30.8	62.8	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.8	64.2	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	6.6	37.7	55.7	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.0	0.0	51.0	46.9	49

		Texas Tech-Foster					
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
13.	Have you had a clinical training experience during m at a Department of Veterans Affairs medical facility?	edical sc	hool				
	······································	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Yes	26.5	30.0	32.8	35.9	45.6	57.9
	No	73.5	70.0	67.2	64.1	54.4	42.1
	Number of respondents	49	60	67	78	79	15,913

How would you rate the value of your Department of Veterans Affairs clinical training experience? Note: Only those who responded "Yes" to the prior question could

respond to this item.

·	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Poor	7.7	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	3.0
Fair	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	2.8	6.3
Adequate	38.5	27.8	18.2	42.9	30.6	23.6
Very Good	30.8	33.3	50.0	25.0	27.8	35.9
Excellent	23.1	22.2	31.8	32.1	27.8	31.1
Number of respondents	13	18	22	28	36	9,191

			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
	20	014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
Indicate the activities you will have par school on an elective (for credit) or vol	•						
	Perc		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Independent study project for credit	6	67.3	41.0	62.7	65.4	60.8	50.4
Research project with faculty member	8	39.8	88.5	88.1	89.7	96.2	78.8
Authorship (sole or joint) of a peer-reviewed pa for publication	aper submitted 5	51.0	54.1	35.8	46.2	62.0	50.5
Authorship (sole or joint) of a peer-reviewed or presentation	al or poster 6	61.2	80.3	49.3	61.5	84.8	56.7
Global health experience	3	34.7	37.7	14.9	19.2	11.4	25.9
Educating elementary, high school or college s careers in health professions or biological sci		59.2	73.8	44.8	60.3	60.8	51.0
Providing health education (e.g., HIV/AIDS ed cancer awareness, smoking cessation, obesi		73.5	73.8	64.2	75.6	65.8	63.
Field experience in providing health education community (e.g., adult/child protective service violence program, rape crisis hotline)		53.1	68.9	44.8	60.3	50.6	35.8
Field experience in home care	5	53.1	65.6	46.3	71.8	70.9	33.
Learned another language in order to improve commmunication with patients	8	31.6	82.0	88.1	87.2	86.1	24.
Learned the proper use of the interpreter when	n needed 7	79.6	70.5	76.1	78.2	87.3	83.
Experience related to health disparities	8	33.7	78.7	83.6	91.0	88.6	79.
Experience related to cultural awareness and competence	cultural 7	79.6	77.0	86.6	89.7	89.9	75.
Community-based research project	4	6.9	31.1	32.8	48.7	44.3	33.4
Field experience in nursing home care	2	26.5	65.6	40.3	50.0	45.6	31.9
Experience with a free clinic for the underserve	ed population 7	7.6	77.0	89.6	89.7	93.7	74.
Other		2.0	1.6	0.0	2.6	1.3	1.
Number of respondents		49	61	67	78	79	15,932

15. Indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statement:

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	cting Each Ra	ating	
	-	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
I received appropriate g	uidance in th	e selection of	electives.				
All Medical Schools	2018	2.8	8.4	17.7	44.4	26.7	15,595
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	3.8	10.3	44.9	39.7	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	5.3	18.7	50.7	25.3	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	4.5	11.9	53.7	29.9	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	18.3	43.3	38.3	60
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	10.2	26.5	32.7	28.6	49

2018 Medical School Graduation Questionnaire

16. Based on your experiences, indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements:

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	cting Each Ra	ating	
	-	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
My knowledge or opinio backgrounds.	n was influer	nced or change	ed by becoming n	nore aware of th	e perspectives o	f individuals fro	m different
All Medical Schools	2018	0.8	2.1	11.0	45.6	40.6	15,844
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	2.5	13.9	41.8	41.8	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	1.3	9.0	46.2	42.3	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	7.5	46.3	46.3	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.6	1.6	13.1	45.9	37.7	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	4.2	4.2	62.5	27.1	48
The diversity within my i backgrounds.	medical scho	ol class enhan	ced my training a	and skills to work	k with individuals	from different	
All Medical Schools	2018	3.6	8.8	21.3	34.5	31.8	15,838
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	8.9	12.7	36.7	40.5	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	2.6	5.1	19.2	37.2	35.9	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.5	4.5	17.9	37.3	38.8	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	4.9	0.0	14.8	45.9	34.4	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.2	6.3	14.6	50.0	25.0	48

17. Learning Environment Scales

A shortened version of the Medical School Learning Environment Survey (MSLES) instrument consists of 7 items measuring two dimensions of the learning environment – emotional climate, and student-faculty interaction. Each subscale is calculated by summing across the items, which are measured on a 0-5 point scale. **Higher scores for each subscale indicate more positive perceptions of the learning environment.** Only participants who responded to every item on the scale are included in the summary statistics. For each subscale, the mean score, the standard deviation, and the number of respondents are displayed below. Additionally, a reliability estimate (Cronbach's alpha) is shown as a measure of internal consistency. The measure varies from 0 to 1, and an instrument is often considered to be reliable if the estimate is 0.7 or higher.

Emotional Climate

The emotional climate subscale combines the responses of three items assessing a student's affective response to the learning environment. These questions ask to what extent [or, how often] the educational experience leads to a sense of achievement, valuing oneself, and confidence in one's academic abilities. The possible range of responses for the emotional climate subscale is 0 to 15. Higher scores are correlated with positive perceptions of the learning environment.

		Reliability		Standard	
		Estimate	Mean	Deviation	Count
All Medical Schools	2018	0.9	9.5	3.2	15,635
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.0	10.6	3.0	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.0	10.5	2.9	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.9	10.9	2.8	66

Student-Faculty Interaction

The student-faculty interaction subscale combines responses to four items assessing a student's perception of faculty supportiveness. In addition to asking about perceived distance between faculty and students, these questions ask to what extent students feel that faculty are helpful when providing academic advice, when providing non-academic advice, and when answering questions and providing criticism. The possible range of responses for the student-faculty interaction subscale is 0 to 20, and higher scores are correlated with positive perceptions of the learning environment.

		Reliability Standard Estimate Mean Deviation	Count
All Medical Schools	2018	0.8 14.3 3.4	15,538
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.7 14.6 3.0	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.6 14.9 2.9	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.8 15.4 3.2	65

18. Think about how often you experience[d] the following at your medical school. Determine your response by choosing one of the categories of frequency given below. Choose the category that best approximates your perceptions.

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating						
		Never	Almost never	Sometimes	Fairly often	Very often	Always	Count
There are disconnects b by faculty.	etween what	I am taught	about profes	sional behaviors	/attitudes an	d what I see	being demor	strated
All Medical Schools	2018	7.7	37.6	34.4	9.4	7.5	3.4	15,722
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	8.9	38.0	32.9	7.6	10.1	2.5	79
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	14.7	33.3	20.0	10.7	13.3	8.0	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	7.6	39.4	31.8	10.6	6.1	4.5	66

19. Please rate how often the following professional behaviors/attitudes are demonstrated by your medical school's faculty.

		Perc	centage of	Respondents \$	Selecting E	Each Ratin	g	
		Never	Almost never	Sometimes	Fairly often	Very often	Always	Coun
Respecting patient confi	dentiality							
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.1	1.4	6.9	36.9	54.7	15,66
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	2.5	6.3	29.1	62.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	36.4	53.2	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	42.4	48.5	6
Using professional langu	uage/avoiding	derogatory	language					
All Medical Schools	2018	0.6	1.3	3.7	12.3	47.2	34.9	15,66
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	2.5	5.1	20.3	38.0	32.9	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0	3.9	11.7	46.8	37.7	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	9.1	19.7	40.9	28.8	6
	2010	0.0	1.0	0.1	10.1	10.0	20.0	Ũ
Being respectful of hous	e staff and ot	her physicia	ans					
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.4	3.8	13.8	49.4	32.5	15,65
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	8.9	16.5	39.2	35.4	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	0.0	0.0	2.6	11.7	49.4	36.4	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	6.1	19.7	43.9	30.3	6
Respecting diversity								
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.6	4.7	12.7	41.3	40.7	15,63
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	2.5	16.5	34.2	45.6	7
				5.2			45.5	
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0		9.1	40.3		7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	6.1	9.1	42.4	42.4	6
Being respectful of other	health profe	ssions						
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.5	5.3	16.7	46.3	31.2	15,579
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	6.4	12.8	42.3	35.9	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	3.9	16.9	41.6	37.7	7
Texas Tech-Foster		0.0	0.0	9.1	13.6	39.4	37.9	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	9.1	13.0	59.4	57.9	0
Being respectful of other	specialties							
All Medical Schools	2018	0.2	1.1	10.2	27.1	42.6	18.8	15,65
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	19.0	19.0	38.0	22.8	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	0.0	0.0	11.7	27.3	36.4	24.7	7
Texas Tech-Foster		0.0	1.5	9.1	28.8		24.7	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	9.1	20.0	37.9	22.1	0
Providing direction and o	constructive for	eedback						
All Medical Schools	2018	0.2	1.7	12.5	26.5	39.4	19.7	15,64
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.2	0.0	13.9	25.3	40.5	20.3	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	1.3	3.9	22.1	44.2	28.6	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.0	9.1	15.2	47.0	25.8	6

19. Please rate how often the following professional behaviors/attitudes are demonstrated by your medical school's faculty. (Continued)

		Pero	sentage of i	Respondents \$	selecting r		y	
		Never	Almost never	Sometimes	Fairly often	Very often	Always	Coun
Showing respectful inter	action with st						, anayo	ooun
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.4	5.8	19.5	49.5	24.7	15,642
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	8.9	10.1	57.0	24.1	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	0.0	0.0	3.9	15.6	45.5	35.1	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	4.6	15.4	50.8	29.2	6
Showing empathy and c								
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.5	5.9	19.7	49.8	24.0	15,63
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	6.3	21.5	49.4	22.8	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0	6.7	21.3	40.0	32.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.5	9.1	18.2	50.0	21.2	6
Being respectful of patie	nts' dignity ar	nd autonom	v					
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.3	3.8	14.3	46.8	34.7	15,58
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	5.1	10.3	50.0	33.3	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	0.0	0.0	2.6	17.1	44.7	35.5	. 7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0	7.6	15.2	43.9	33.3	6
	2010	0.0	0.0	1.0	10.2	40.0	00.0	0
Actively listened and sho	owed interest	in patients						
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.3	4.4	17.8	51.7	25.7	15,65
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	6.3	19.0	51.9	22.8	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0	5.2	22.1	42.9	29.9	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	7.7	21.5	41.5	29.2	6
Taking time and effort to	explain infor	nation to pa	atients					
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.7	7.3	23.0	46.9	22.0	15,63
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	11.4	31.6	35.4	21.5	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2010	0.0	1.3	13.0	13.0	44.2	28.6	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	12.1	22.7	47.0	18.2	6
Advocating appropriately		-		4.0	47.0	47.0	20.0	45.00
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.4	4.8	17.3	47.8	29.6	15,63
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	7.6	20.3	44.3	27.8	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0	11.7	14.3	41.6	32.5	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	9.1	16.7	50.0	24.2	6
Resolving conflicts in wa	ays that respe	ct the digni	ty of all involv	ed				
All Medical Schools	2018	0.1	0.4	4.9	17.5	49.5	27.6	15,60
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	5.1	20.3	45.6	29.1	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	5.2	19.5	41.6	32.5	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017 2016	0.0	0.0	4.5	19.5	41.0 53.0	22.7	6

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	cting Each Ra	ating	
	-	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Count
My medical school has	done a good	job of fostering	and nurturing m	y development a	as a person		
All Medical Schools	2018	2.7	6.3	18.9	40.9	31.2	15,42
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	6.5	13.0	46.8	33.8	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	5.3	10.7	36.0	46.7	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.6	3.2	6.5	43.5	45.2	62
 My medical school has (done a good	iob of fostering	and nurturing m	v development a	as a future phys	ician	
All Medical Schools	2018	0.7	1.5	6.0	44.0	47.7	15,624
	2040	0.0	1.3	7.7	44.9	46.2	78
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.5	1.1		40.2	10
Texas Tech-Foster Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	2.6	42.1	52.6	70

20. Indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

21. Tolerance for Ambiguity (TFA) Scale

Tolerance for Ambiguity (TFA) is a measure of one's ability to cope with situations of uncertainty. Scales measuring TFA have been used in prior research to show how ambiguity impacts medical education and medical care. TFA scores are calculated by summing across 7 items, which are measured on a 1-6 point scale. **The possible range of scores is 7 to 42**, **and higher scores are correlated with higher tolerance for ambiguity.** Only participants who responded to every item on the scale are included in the summary statistics. The mean TFA score, the standard deviation, and the number of respondents are displayed below. Additionally, a reliability estimate (Cronbach's alpha) is shown as a measure of internal consistency. The measure varies from 0 to 1, and an instrument is considered to be reliable if the estimate is 0.7 or higher.

Tolerance for Ambiguit	y (TFA) Scale	Reliability Estimate	Mean	Standard Deviation	Count
All Medical Schools	2018	0.8	24.7	6.1	15,331
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.8	24.4	5.8	74
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.8	24.5	6.7	74
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.8	23.5	6.5	66

22. Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI)

The Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI) is a measure of individual differences in empathy. Scales measuring empathy have been used in prior research to show how levels of empathy may change throughout medical education. For Y2Q purposes, the IRI consists of 8 items. These include 4 items from each of the IRI subscales – perspective taking and empathic concern. IRI scores are calculated by summing across the 8 items, which are measured on a 0-4 point scale. **The possible range of scores is 0 to 32, and higher scores are correlated with higher levels of empathy.** Only participants who responded to every item on the scale are included in the summary statistics. The mean IRI score, the standard deviation, and the number of respondents are displayed below. Additionally, a reliability estimate (Cronbach's alpha) is shown as a measure of internal consistency. The measure varies from 0 to 1, and an instrument is considered to be reliable if the estimate is 0.7 or higher.

		Reliability		Standard	
Interpersonal Reactivit	y Index (IRI)	Estimate	Mean	Deviation	Count
All Medical Schools	2018	0.8	23.9	4.6	15,233
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.8	23.5	4.3	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.7	24.8	3.8	74
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.8	24.9	4.1	65

23. Oldenburg Burnout Inventory for Medical Students Scale

The Oldenburg Burnout Inventory for Medical Students (OLBI-MS) is a modified and shortened version of the Oldenburg Burnout Inventory (OLBI). The OLBI-MS instrument consists of 16 items measuring two dimensions of burnout – exhaustion and disengagement. Each subscale is calculated by summing across the items, which are measured on a 0-3 point scale. **Higher scores are correlated with higher levels of burnout.** Only participants who responded to every item on the scale are included in the summary statistics. For each subscale, the mean score, the standard deviation, and the number of respondents are displayed below. Additionally, a reliability estimate (Cronbach's alpha) is shown as a measure of internal consistency. The measure varies from 0 to 1, and an instrument is often considered to be reliable if the estimate is 0.7 or higher.

Disengagement

The disengagement subscale includes eight items on a 0-3 point scale and refers to distancing oneself from the object and content of medical school work and to negative attitudes toward medical school in general. The possible range of responses for the disengagement subscale is 0 to 24, and higher scores are correlated with higher levels of burnout.

		Reliability Estimate M	/lean	Standard Deviation	Count
			lean	Deviation	Count
All Medical Schools	2018	0.8	9.9	3.7	15,251
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.8	9.1	3.8	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.8	8.7	3.7	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.8	9.0	3.6	62

Exhaustion

The exhaustion subscale includes eight items on a 0-3 point scale and refers to the cognitive and physical strain as a consequence of the demands of medical school. The possible range of responses for the exhaustion subscale is 0 to 24, and higher scores are correlated with higher levels of burnout.

		Reliability Estimate	Mean	Standard Deviation	Count
All Medical Schools	2018	0.8	11.1	3.6	15,198
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.8	10.3	3.5	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.8	10.3	3.4	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.8	10.0	3.3	61

			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
24.	In which of the following activities do you plan to your career?	participate d	luring				
	Note: Percentages may not sum to 100% as multi allowed.	ple response	es are				
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Patient Care		94.9	93.8	98.7	97.4	97.2
	Research		66.1	54.7	53.2	50.0	51.3
	Teaching		76.3	85.9	77.9	67.9	82.9
	Medical School Faculty		47.5	40.6	41.6	46.2	44.7
	Administration (e.g., Department Chair, Dean)		23.7	14.1	20.8	19.2	28.5
	Military Service		3.4	4.7	5.2	5.1	4.3
	Public Health		28.8	21.9	31.2	29.5	31.6
	Other		1.7	4.7	1.3	5.1	3.1
	Number of respondents		59	64	77	78	15,430



Do you anticipate providing patient of Note: Only those who selected "Pati to this item. Full-time (at least 36 hours a week) Part-time (less than 36 hours a week)			2016	2017	2018	2018
Note: Only those who selected "Pati to this item. Full-time (at least 36 hours a week)						2010
Full-time (at least 36 hours a week)		spond				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	<u>r ercent</u>					
Part-time (less than 36 hours a week)		98.2	93.3	92.1	89.5	89.5
		1.8	6.7	7.9	10.5	10.5
Number of respondents		56	60	76	76	14,940
How exclusively do you expect to be Note: Only those who selected "Res		ond to				
this item.	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Full-time		0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	3.1
Significantly involved		38.5	40.0	41.5	53.8	44.4
Involved in a limited way		61.5	60.0	56.1	46.2	52.4
Number of respondents		39	35	41	39	7,900
When thinking about your career, wh practice?	nat is your intended area o	of				
practice	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Anesthesiology or subspecialty		5.1	3.1	1.3	2.6	5.8
Dermatology or subspecialty		1.7	1.6	0.0	2.6	2.2
Emergency Medicine or subspecialty		8.5	6.3	9.1	3.8	9.1
Family Medicine or subspecialty		5.1	6.3	15.6	16.7	9.3
Internal Medicine or subspecialty		16.9	14.1	11.7	16.7	18.5
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics		1.7	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.8
Medical Genetics or subspecialty		0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.1
Neurological Surgery		3.4	1.6	1.3	2.6	1.2
Neurology or subspecialty		1.7	1.6	1.3	1.3	3.1
Nuclear Medicine		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Obstetrics and Gynecology or subspecialty		1.7	12.5	7.8	3.8	6.3
Ophthalmology or subspecialty		6.8	3.1	2.6	1.3	2.4
Orthopaedic Surgery or subspecialty		1.7	3.1	3.9	2.6	4.1
Otolaryngology or subspecialty		1.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.7
Pathology or subspecialty		3.4	6.3	0.0	3.8	1.3
Pediatrics or subspecialty		20.3	20.3	20.8	16.7	10.3
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation or sub	specialty	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	1.4
Plastic Surgery or subspecialty	specially	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.8
Preventive Medicine or subspecialty		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Psychiatry or subspecialty		5.1	3.1	3.9	6.4	5.0
Radiation Oncology		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.0
Radiology or subspecialty		3.4	4.7	6.5	5.1	4.7
Surgery - General Surgery or subspecialty		11.9	10.9	6.5	9.0	6.1
Thoracic Surgery or subspecialty		0.0	0.0	1.3	9.0 0.0	0.5
Urology or subspecialty		0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.1
·· · ·		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 1.3	0.3
Vascular Surgery or subspecialty						
Undecided I do not plan to practice medicine		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.3 0.2
Number of respondents		59	64	77	78	15,452

	Texas Tech-Foster					All	
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
28.	Do you plan, at some point in your career, to work	as a hospit	alist				
	(i.e., full-time care of hospitalized patients)?	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Yes		16.7	32.8	27.3	23.4	19.4
	No		45.0	35.9	42.9	46.8	46.2
	Not sure		38.3	31.3	29.9	29.9	34.4
	Number of respondents		60	64	77	77	15,438

29. How useful were the following resources in learning about specialty choice and career planning?

		Perce	entage of Res	pondents Sele	cting Each Rat	ing	
	-	Did not use	Not useful	Somewhat useful	Moderately useful	Very useful	Count
Advising/Mentoring							
All Medical Schools	2018	5.0	6.3	14.8	27.8	46.1	15,414
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	5.3	5.3	18.4	28.9	42.1	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	10.4	3.9	16.9	26.0	42.9	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	3.1	3.1	14.1	34.4	45.3	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	8.5	3.4	13.6	27.1	47.5	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	12.8	8.5	8.5	27.7	42.6	47
AAMC's Careers in Med	icine website	9					
All Medical Schools	2018	29.1	9.5	25.0	24.5	11.9	15,412
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	19.5	6.5	20.8	31.2	22.1	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	27.3	3.9	19.5	28.6	20.8	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	20.3	4.7	18.8	31.3	25.0	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	20.3	5.1	16.9	33.9	23.7	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	26.1	4.3	13.0	21.7	34.8	46
Specialty interest group-	sponsored p	anels and pres	sentations				
All Medical Schools	2018	13.1	5.7	23.1	32.6	25.4	15,402
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	13.2	11.8	15.8	32.9	26.3	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	10.4	6.5	19.5	41.6	22.1	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	17.2	6.3	21.9	25.0	29.7	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	10.2	1.7	13.6	27.1	47.5	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	21.7	6.5	8.7	28.3	34.8	46
School-sponsored caree	er planning w	orkshops and	courses				
All Medical Schools	2018	26.8	9.8	22.7	27.1	13.5	15,374
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	29.9	11.7	11.7	32.5	14.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	23.4	9.1	20.8	32.5	14.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	20.6	7.9	27.0	30.2	14.3	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	13.6	1.7	16.9	33.9	33.9	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	25.5	6.4	8.5	36.2	23.4	47
Participation in in-house	and extram	ural electives					
All Medical Schools	2018	13.8	4.0	12.2	25.7	44.3	15,386
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	18.2	3.9	9.1	29.9	39.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	14.3	6.5	11.7	36.4	31.2	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	17.2	4.7	12.5	26.6	39.1	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	15.3	1.7	8.5	28.8	45.8	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	15.2	2.2	6.5	23.9	52.2	46

29. How useful were the following resources in learning about specialty choice and career planning? (Continued)

		Percentage of Respondents Selecting Each Rating					
	-	Did not use	Not useful	Somewhat useful	Moderately useful	Very useful	Count
Other publications and v	veb-based re	sources					
All Medical Schools	2018	22.4	4.8	21.2	30.7	20.9	15,347
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	19.5	5.2	13.0	33.8	28.6	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	24.7	3.9	9.1	33.8	28.6	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	14.1	7.8	23.4	26.6	28.1	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	16.9	1.7	22.0	32.2	27.1	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	17.4	4.3	10.9	28.3	39.1	46

30. How influential were the following in helping you choose your specialty?

		Percentage	e of Respondents	s Selecting Each	Rating	
		No influence	Minor influence	Moderate influence	Strong influence	— Coun
Competitiveness of spec	cialty					
All Medical Schools	2018	30.0	31.2	29.9	9.0	15,414
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	23.4	20.8	40.3	15.6	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	31.2	28.6	29.9	10.4	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	35.9	29.7	25.0	9.4	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	18.6	22.0	35.6	23.7	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	42.5	17.5	27.5	12.5	40
Level of educational deb	ot					
All Medical Schools	2018	55.3	23.4	15.0	6.3	15,400
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	64.9	19.5	11.7	3.9	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	49.4	26.0	19.5	5.2	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	56.3	26.6	9.4	7.8	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	47.5	23.7	23.7	5.1	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	52.5	27.5	10.0	10.0	40
Role model influence						
All Medical Schools	2018	7.6	11.8	29.7	50.8	15,400
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	6.6	11.8	25.0	56.6	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	6.6	14.5	36.8	42.1	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	4.7	14.1	21.9	59.4	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	13.6	15.3	32.2	39.0	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	10.0	20.0	25.0	45.0	40
Options for fellowship tra	aining					
All Medical Schools	2018	19.9	19.8	29.1	31.2	15,385
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	19.5	16.9	23.4	40.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	15.8	23.7	27.6	32.9	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	14.1	25.0	25.0	35.9	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	14.0	19.3	31.6	35.1	57
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	20.0	17.5	35.0	27.5	40
Income expectations						
All Medical Schools	2018	22.7	31.8	32.0	13.5	15,403
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	28.6	27.3	29.9	14.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	29.9	26.0	36.4	7.8	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	20.3	39.1	32.8	7.8	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	18.6	25.4	37.3	18.6	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	12.5	30.0	40.0	17.5	40

2018 Medical School Graduation Questionnaire

30. How influential were the following in helping you choose your specialty? (Continued)

		Percentage	e of Respondent	s Selecting Each	Rating	
		No influence	Minor influence	Moderate influence	Strong influence	— Coun
Length of residency trair	ning					
All Medical Schools	2018	25.0	32.8	29.6	12.7	15,397
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	23.4	28.6	26.0	22.1	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	22.1	32.5	31.2	14.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	21.9	32.8	34.4	10.9	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	18.6	25.4	30.5	25.4	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	17.5	25.0	32.5	25.0	40
Family expectations						
All Medical Schools	2018	48.2	21.6	18.5	11.6	15,395
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	36.8	23.7	18.4	21.1	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	36.4	26.0	26.0	11.7	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	39.1	15.6	21.9	23.4	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	25.4	25.4	28.8	20.3	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	47.5	12.5	22.5	17.5	40
My future family plans						
All Medical Schools	2018	20.5	21.0	30.8	27.7	15,409
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	16.9	24.7	26.0	32.5	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	13.2	22.4	32.9	31.6	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	17.2	12.5	31.3	39.1	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	6.8	15.3	42.4	35.6	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	22.5	10.0	30.0	37.5	40
Work/Life balance						
All Medical Schools	2018	6.3	16.3	33.2	44.2	15,400
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	8.0	18.7	32.0	41.3	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.9	18.2	39.0	39.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	6.3	17.2	21.9	54.7	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	5.1	10.2	32.2	52.5	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	10.0	2.5	27.5	60.0	40
Fit with personality, inter	rests, and skills					
All Medical Schools	2018	0.4	1.2	10.8	87.6	15,418
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	9.1	88.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	0.0	15.6	84.4	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.6	3.1	4.7	90.6	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.4	8.5	88.1	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.5	2.5	10.0	85.0	40
Content of specialty						
All Medical Schools	2018	0.4	1.5	14.3	83.8	15,388
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	20.8	76.6	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	23.4	75.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.6	7.8	90.6	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	6.8	13.6	79.7	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	5.0	0.0	12.5	82.5	40

Š_{AAMC}

	Texas Tech-Foster				All	
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
Where do you hope to work after completing you Note: The response options were revised in 2010	ur medical trai 6. "Undecided	ining? I" was				
added and other locations were discontinued or			Deveent	Deveent	Deveent	Dereent
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Alabama			0.0	1.6	0.0	0.9
Alaska			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Arizona			2.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.5	1.0
Arkansas California			0.0	0.0 3.2	0.0 3.1	0.6 13.9
Colorado			2.0	5.2 1.6	1.5	2.2
Connecticut			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Delaware			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
District of Columbia			0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Florida			0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4
Georgia			0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2
Hawaii			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Idaho			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
lowa			2.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Illinois			0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3
Indiana			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
Kansas			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
Kentucky			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8
Louisiana			0.0	1.6	0.0	1.1
Maine			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Maryland			0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1
Massachusetts			2.0	1.6	0.0	3.0
Michigan			2.0	0.0	0.0	2.4
Minnesota			0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6
Mississippi			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Missouri			2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
Montana			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Nebraska			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4
Nevada			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
New Hampshire			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
New Jersey			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
New Mexico			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
New York			0.0	0.0	1.5	6.6
North Carolina			0.0	1.6	0.0	2.7
North Dakota			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Ohio			2.0	0.0	0.0	2.4
Oklahoma			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Oregon			0.0	1.6	0.0	0.9
Pennsylvania			0.0	0.0	1.5	3.7
Puerto Rico			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Rhode Island			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
South Carolina			0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
South Dakota			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Tennessee			0.0	1.6	3.1	1.1
Texas			64.7	69.8	73.8	6.3
Utah			0.0	0.0	1.5	0.8
Vermont			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
Virginia			0.0	0.0	1.5	1.6
Washington			2.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
West Virginia			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Wisconsin			0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
			0.0		Texas Tech	



81. Where do you hope to work after completing your mo Note: The response options were revised in 2016. "U added and other locations were discontinued or con (Continued)	ndecided	" was	2016	2017	2018	2018
Note: The response options were revised in 2016. "U added and other locations were discontinued or con-	ndecided solidated	" was				
added and other locations were discontinued or con	solidated.					
(Continueu)	Percent	Dereent				
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Wyoming			2.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
U.S. Territory/Possession			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
Canada			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Other Foreign Country			2.0	1.6	0.0	0.7
Undecided			15.7	14.3	10.8	21.0
Number of respondents			51	63	65	13,294
2. Please indicate the setting in which you plan to work	after the					
completion of your medical education and training:						
Note: In 2015, "Suburb of moderate size city" was ina omitted from the response options.	advertent	ly				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Large city (population 500,000 or more)		47.5	52.4	36.4	45.5	40.7
Suburb of a large city		20.3	11.1	16.9	16.9	13.4
City of moderate size (population 50,000 to 500,000)		11.9	15.9	15.6	16.9	21.0
Suburb of moderate size city		•	1.6	6.5	3.9	4.7
Small city (population 10,000 to 50,000other than suburb)		3.4	1.6	1.3 0.0	3.9	4.1 1.7
Town (population 2,500 to 10,000other than suburb) Small town (population less than 2,500)		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.6
Rural/unincorporated area		1.7	0.0	6.5	1.3	1.1
Undecided or no preference		15.3	17.5	16.9	11.7	12.6
Number of respondents		59	63	77	77	15,391
33. Do you plan to work primarily in an underserved area	a?					
Note: In 2014 the question was, "Do you plan to prac	tice in an					
underserved area?"	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Yes	<u>1 ercent</u> 31.9	20.3	<u>1 ercent</u> 31.3	<u>1 ercent</u> 35.1	<u>1 ercent</u> 37.7	<u>1 ercent</u> 26.4
No	17.0	20.3 27.1	20.3	19.5	22.1	20.4
Undecided	51.1	52.5	48.4	45.5	40.3	46.4
Number of respondents	47	59	64	77	77	15,393
34. Regardless of location, do you plan to care primarily underserved population?	for an					
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Yes	40.4	35.6	34.4	39.0	41.6	34.7
No	17.0	18.6	18.8	16.9	18.2	23.5
Undecided	42.6	45.8	46.9	44.2	40.3	41.9
Number of respondents	47	59	64	77	77	15,385

			Texas Tech-Foster				All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
35.	If you could revisit your career choice, wo medical school again?	uld you choose to a	ttend				
	C C	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	No	10.4	5.2	1.6	2.6	1.3	2.1
	Probably not	12.5	5.2	1.6	3.9	5.2	5.8
	Neutral	6.3	8.6	7.8	9.1	11.7	9.2
	Probably yes	29.2	31.0	21.9	28.6	28.6	32.0
	Yes	41.7	50.0	67.2	55.8	53.2	51.0
	Number of respondents	48	58	64	77	77	15,417

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	cting Each Ra	iting	
		Very dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Count
Office of the Dean of Stu	udents/Asso	ciate Dean for	Students: Accessi	bility			
All Medical Schools	2018	1.8	3.3	16.2	36.1	42.6	15,393
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	0.0	9.1	29.9	61.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	3.9	36.4	58.4	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.1	6.3	28.1	62.5	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	6.6	34.4	59.0	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	4.1	36.7	59.2	49
Office of the Dean of Stu	udents/Asso	ciate Dean for	Students: Awaren	ess of student	concerns		
All Medical Schools	2018	4.0	7.3	17.6	36.5	34.6	15,379
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	6.5	42.9	49.4	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	6.5	42.9	49.4	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.1	9.4	23.4	64.1	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	4.9	11.5	27.9	55.7	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	6.3	6.3	29.2	56.3	48
Office of the Dean of Stu	udents/Asso	ciate Dean for	Students: Respon	siveness to stu	dent problems		
All Medical Schools	2018	4.3	7.6	18.1	34.3	35.7	15,312
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	3.9	9.2	36.8	50.0	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	9.1	39.0	50.6	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.6	1.6	10.9	21.9	64.1	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	5.1	13.6	22.0	59.3	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	6.1	6.1	28.6	57.1	49
Office of the Dean for Ed	ducational F	Programs/Curric	ular Affairs: Acces	sibility			
All Medical Schools	2018	1.8	3.6	22.1	39.0	33.5	15,343
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	1.3	11.7	41.6	45.5	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	7.8	39.0	51.9	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	15.6	23.4	60.9	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	0.0	9.8	39.3	50.8	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.0	14.3	30.6	53.1	49

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	ecting Each Ra	ting	
		Very atisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Count
Office of the Dean for Ed	ducational Progra	ms/Curric	ular Affairs: Aware	eness of studer	nt concerns		
All Medical Schools	2018	3.2	6.3	22.4	38.3	29.8	15,322
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	14.3	42.9	40.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	9.1	44.2	45.5	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.1	14.1	29.7	53.1	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.3	11.5	31.1	54.1	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	2.0	16.3	28.6	51.0	49
Office of the Dean for Ed	ducational Progra	ms/Curric	ular Affairs: Resp	onsiveness to s	student problems		
All Medical Schools	2018	3.9	7.2	23.0	36.1	29.8	15,333
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	3.9	14.3	44.2	37.7	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	10.4	41.6	46.8	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.6	12.5	25.0	60.9	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.3	13.1	29.5	54.1	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	2.0	16.3	28.6	51.0	49
Office of the Dean for Ed	ducational Progra	ms/Curric	ular Affairs: Partic	ipation of stude	ents on key medio	cal school comr	nittees
All Medical Schools	2018	2.3	3.9	22.7	38.4	32.7	15,284
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	1.3	16.9	41.6	39.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.3	0.0	13.0	36.4	49.4	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.6	15.6	23.4	59.4	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	1.6	9.8	32.8	55.7	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.0	6.1	10.2	30.6	51.0	49
Student Support: Acade	mic counseling*						
All Medical Schools	2018	4.4	9.4	13.8	39.9	32.5	11,853
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.8	8.9	5.4	46.4	37.5	56
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.8	1.8	12.5	41.1	42.9	56
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	2.2	11.1	40.0	46.7	45
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	4.2	10.4	39.6	45.8	48
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	5.7	5.7	48.6	40.0	35
Student Support: Tutorir	ng*						
All Medical Schools	2018	3.8	7.6	18.2	38.3	32.2	6,815
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	2.2	13.0	47.8	37.0	46
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	2.1	12.8	40.4	44.7	47
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	3.1	9.4	6.3	43.8	37.5	32
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	9.4	6.3	25.0	59.4	32
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	3.8	42.3	53.8	26
Student Support: Persor	nal counseling*						
All Medical Schools	2018	4.3	8.7	15.7	35.4	35.9	8,539
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	7.3	9.8	48.8	34.1	41
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	9.8	9.8	36.6	43.9	41
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	6.3	18.8	37.5	37.5	32
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	11.1	13.9	27.8	47.2	36
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	6.9	55.2	37.9	29

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	ecting Each Ra	iting	
		Very dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Count
Student Support: Finance	cial aid admini	istrative servio	ces*				
All Medical Schools	2018	3.7	6.9	14.5	37.0	38.0	11,693
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	4.7	9.4	31.3	54.7	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	1.6	1.6	46.8	50.0	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	4.0	6.0	38.0	52.0	50
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	5.7	3.8	28.3	62.3	53
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.6	10.3	35.9	51.3	39
Student Support: Overal	Il educational	debt manage	ment counseling*				
All Medical Schools	2018	4.4	9.7	18.3	36.7	30.8	10,882
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	3.9	15.7	19.6	29.4	31.4	51
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.7	11.9	8.5	52.5	25.4	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	4.2	4.2	10.4	41.7	39.6	48
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	4.3	14.9	36.2	44.7	47
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	15.6	9.4	40.6	34.4	32
Student Support: Senior	r loan exit inte	rview*					
All Medical Schools	2018	2.4	4.8	20.6	37.6	34.6	8,815
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	12.5	31.3	21.9	34.4	32
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	10.2	14.3	51.0	24.5	49
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	2.8	2.8	19.4	47.2	27.8	36
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	3.2	3.2	32.3	25.8	35.5	31
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	15.8	47.4	36.8	19
Student Support: Facult	y mentoring*						
All Medical Schools	2018	2.5	5.5	12.3	36.3	43.4	14,730
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	2.6	10.5	32.9	52.6	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	1.4	2.8	11.1	37.5	47.2	72
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.7	12.1	27.6	58.6	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	7.1	5.4	28.6	58.9	56
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	0.0	18.2	40.9	40.9	44
Career Planning Service	es: Career pre	eference asse	ssment activities*				
All Medical Schools	2018	4.1	9.4	24.8	40.1	21.6	10,581
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	3.4	20.7	46.6	29.3	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	3.2	17.5	42.9	36.5	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	1.9	17.3	38.5	42.3	52
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	5.4	16.1	42.9	35.7	56
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.4	19.0	40.5	38.1	42
Career Planning Service		-	alties*				
All Medical Schools	2018	2.9	7.8	18.3	45.8	25.2	13,068
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.6	4.9	21.3	41.0	31.1	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	5.7	12.9	41.4	40.0	70
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	12.1	43.1	44.8	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	7.1	1.8	37.5	53.6	56
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.2	15.2	37.0	45.7	46

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	ecting Each Ra	ting	
		Very dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Count
Career Planning Service	es: Informat	ion about altern	ative medical care	ers*			
All Medical Schools	2018	10.0	21.1	25.1	27.4	16.5	9,831
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.2	15.2	15.2	39.1	28.3	46
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	12.1	24.1	27.6	36.2	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	12.5	18.8	35.4	33.3	48
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	10.6	23.4	25.5	40.4	47
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	15.0	17.5	32.5	35.0	40
Career Planning Service	es: Overall s	satisfaction with	career planning s	ervices*			
All Medical Schools	2018	4.0	10.1	22.5	42.3	21.0	12,958
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.6	6.6	13.1	50.8	27.9	61
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	7.1	15.7	40.0	37.1	70
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	0.0	25.4	39.0	35.6	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	5.4	5.4	42.9	46.4	56
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.2	17.8	40.0	40.0	45
Wellness: Student progr	ams/activiti	es that promote	effective stress n	nanagement, a	balanced lifestyle	e and overall we	ell being*
All Medical Schools	2018	3.3	7.4	18.5	40.4	30.4	13,610
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	3.1	4.7	15.6	42.2	34.4	64
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	3.0	3.0	14.9	41.8	37.3	67
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	3.6	5.5	16.4	41.8	32.7	55
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	4.2	4.2	10.4	31.3	50.0	48
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	5.1	7.7	12.8	38.5	35.9	39
Student Health: Student	health serv	vices*					
All Medical Schools	2018	3.2	6.5	11.7	41.1	37.4	13,039
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.6	1.6	7.9	44.4	44.4	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	1.6	9.7	48.4	40.3	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	7.9	10.5	39.5	42.1	38
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	2.4	14.3	14.3	35.7	33.3	42
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.7	8.1	10.8	45.9	32.4	37
Student Health: Student	mental hea	alth services*					
All Medical Schools	2018	5.4	7.8	13.5	34.6	38.7	7,194
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	2.9	5.9	14.7	44.1	32.4	34
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	4.9	9.8	4.9	41.5	39.0	41
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	8.3	16.7	41.7	33.3	24
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	3.7	14.8	11.1	44.4	25.9	27
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	4.5	18.2	50.0	27.3	22
Student Health: Student	health insu	rance*					
All Medical Schools	2018	8.8	12.6	16.9	36.1	25.6	10,357
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	3.6	12.7	18.2	45.5	20.0	55
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	6.7	16.7	46.7	30.0	60
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	7.1	16.7	14.3	40.5	21.4	42
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	8.3	16.7	16.7	38.9	19.4	36
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	6.3	9.4	15.6	50.0	18.8	32

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	ecting Each Ra	ting	
		Very dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Count
Facilities: Library*							
All Medical Schools	2018	1.5	4.3	7.9	40.8	45.5	14,817
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	5.2	3.9	42.9	46.8	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	3.9	6.6	44.7	44.7	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	3.2	6.5	41.9	48.4	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.4	0.0	34.5	62.1	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	2.1	4.2	45.8	47.9	48
Facilities: Computer reso	ource cente	r*					
All Medical Schools	2018	1.4	4.1	10.0	42.1	42.4	13,322
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	0.0	3.9	7.9	38.2	50.0	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	4.3	8.7	46.4	40.6	69
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	5.1	6.8	45.8	42.4	59
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	0.0	3.7	5.6	37.0	53.7	54
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	0.0	4.2	6.3	47.9	41.7	48
Facilities: Student study	space*						
All Medical Schools	2018	2.7	8.3	10.3	38.2	40.5	14,999
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	1.3	14.3	16.9	32.5	35.1	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	2.6	11.7	18.2	37.7	29.9	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	1.6	12.7	11.1	34.9	39.7	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	3.5	10.5	5.3	35.1	45.6	57
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	2.1	12.5	8.3	41.7	35.4	48
Facilities: Student relaxa	tion space	r					
All Medical Schools	2018	4.9	11.7	17.3	34.5	31.6	13,601
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	4.1	8.2	13.7	37.0	37.0	73
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	0.0	5.6	20.8	36.1	37.5	72
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	0.0	9.7	8.1	38.7	43.5	62
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	1.8	3.6	8.9	33.9	51.8	56
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	4.2	8.3	6.3	41.7	39.6	48

			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
37.	Are you aware that your school has policies regardi mistreatment of medical students?	ng the					
	mistreatment of medical students?	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Yes	100.0	96.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.5
	No	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5
	Number of respondents	48	60	63	77	76	15,371



			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
38.	Do you know the procedures at your school for repo	2014 orting the	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
	mistreatment of medical students?						
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Yes	89.6	98.3	93.7	96.1	96.1	88.1
	No	10.4	1.7	6.3	3.9	3.9	11.9
	Number of respondents	48	60	63	76	76	15,358

39. For each of the following behaviors, please indicate the frequency you personally experienced that behavior during medical school. Include in your response any behaviors performed by faculty, nurses, residents/interns, other institution employees or staff, and other students. Please do not include behaviors performed by patients.

During medical school, how frequently have you...

		Percentage	e of Responder	nts Selecting Each	Rating	_
		Never	Once	Occasionally	Frequently	Count
Been publicly embarras	sed?					
All Medical Schools	2018	56.7	21.8	20.6	1.0	15,342
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	68.8	19.5	11.7	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	64.9	22.1	11.7	1.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	66.7	19.0	14.3	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	65.5	15.5	17.2	1.7	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	67.4	15.2	17.4	0.0	46
Been publicly humiliated	1?					
All Medical Schools	2018	77.6	13.7	8.2	0.5	15,340
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	78.9	13.2	7.9	0.0	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	81.8	15.6	2.6	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	84.1	11.1	4.8	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	81.0	8.6	8.6	1.7	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	80.0	11.1	8.9	0.0	45
Been threatened with ph	nysical harm?					
All Medical Schools	2018	98.6	1.1	0.2	0.1	15,325
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	96.6	1.7	1.7	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	97.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	47
Been physically harmed	?					
All Medical Schools	2018	98.3	1.5	0.2	0.0	15,332
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	98.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	98.3	1.7	0.0	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47
Been required to perforr	n personal servi	ces?				
All Medical Schools	2018	94.1	4.1	1.7	0.1	15,350
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	92.2	6.5	0.0	1.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	92.2	6.5	1.3	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	92.1	4.8	1.6	1.6	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	93.1	5.2	1.7	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	93.6	6.4	0.0	0.0	47

39. For each of the following behaviors, please indicate the frequency you personally experienced that behavior during medical school. Include in your response any behaviors performed by faculty, nurses, residents/interns, other institution employees or staff, and other students. Please do not include behaviors performed by patients.

During medical school, how frequently have you (Continued)
--

		Percentage	e of Responden	nts Selecting Each	Rating	_
		Never	Once	Occasionally	Frequently	Coun
Been subjected to unwa	nted sexual adv	ances?				
All Medical Schools	2018	95.1	3.0	1.8	0.2	15,342
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	97.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	97.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	98.4	1.6	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	98.3	1.7	0.0	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	91.5	8.5	0.0	0.0	47
Been asked to exchange	e sexual favors	for grades or other	rewards?			
All Medical Schools	2018	99.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	15,352
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	46
Been denied opportuniti	es for training o	r rewards based on	gender?			
All Medical Schools	2018	93.1	3.4	3.1	0.3	15,341
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	93.5	3.9	2.6	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	94.8	3.9	1.3	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	96.8	1.6	1.6	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	93.1	1.7	5.2	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	95.7	2.2	2.2	0.0	46
Been subjected to offens	sive sexist rema	irks/names?				
All Medical Schools	2018	83.5	7.5	8.3	0.7	15,343
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	90.9	5.2	3.9	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	87.0	6.5	5.2	1.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	85.7	7.9	4.8	1.6	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	93.1	3.4	3.4	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	80.9	8.5	8.5	2.1	47
Received lower evaluation	ons or grades s	olely because of ge	nder rather than p	performance?		
All Medical Schools	2018	93.6	4.3	1.8	0.3	15,327
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	97.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	98.7	1.3	0.0	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	96.6	1.7	1.7	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	93.6	2.1	4.3	0.0	47
Been denied opportunitie	es for training o	r rewards based on	race or ethnicity?	•		
All Medical Schools	2018	96.7	1.3	1.6	0.4	15,335
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	98.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	98.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	77
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	96.6	1.7	1.7	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	47

39. For each of the following behaviors, please indicate the frequency you personally experienced that behavior during medical school. Include in your response any behaviors performed by faculty, nurses, residents/interns, other institution employees or staff, and other students. Please do not include behaviors performed by patients.

		Percentage	e of Responder	its Selecting Each	Rating	_
		Never	Once	Occasionally	Frequently	Cour
Been subjected to racial	ly or ethnically o	offensive remarks/na	ames?			
All Medical Schools	2018	91.3	4.4	3.9	0.4	15,324
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	90.9	7.8	0.0	1.3	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	97.4	0.0	1.3	1.3	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	95.2	0.0	3.2	1.6	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	94.8	1.7	3.4	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	87.2	2.1	10.6	0.0	4
Received lower evaluation	ons or grades s	olely because of rac	ce or ethnicity rath	er than performance	?	
All Medical Schools	2018	97.0	1.6	1.1	0.3	15,32
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	96.1	2.6	1.3	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	97.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4
Been denied opportuniti	es for training o	r rewards based on	sexual orientation	ו?		
All Medical Schools	2018	99.4	0.2	0.3	0.1	15,338
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	98.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4
Been subjected to offens	sive remarks/na	mes related to sexu	al orientation?			
All Medical Schools	2018	97.7	1.1	1.1	0.1	15,33
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	98.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	96.1	1.3	1.3	1.3	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	96.8	1.6	0.0	1.6	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	98.3	1.7	0.0	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40
Received lower evaluation	ons or grades s	olely because of se	xual orientation ra	ther than performanc	e?	
All Medical Schools	2018	99.4	0.3	0.2	0.0	15,323
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	98.7	0.0	1.3	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	58
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	97.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	4
Been subjected to negat			on your personal	beliefs or personal ch	aracteristics other	r than
your gender, race/ethn	-		4.0	2.0	05	15 044
All Medical Schools	2018	91.7	4.0	3.8	0.5	15,310
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	90.9	6.5	2.6	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	90.9	5.2	3.9	0.0	7
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	96.8	0.0	1.6	1.6	63

During medical school, how frequently have you... (Continued)



		Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
Percent of respondents who indicated they any of the listed behaviors, excluding "pub data are derived from the responses to the reported in Q39 above.	licly embarrassed.					
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Yes	40.4	25.9	31.7	28.6	36.4	42.1
No	59.6	74.1	68.3	71.4	63.6	57.9
Number of respondents Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indic	Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste		63	77	77	15,357
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indic person(s) engaged in the behavior that was	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which	ing d	63	77	77	15,357
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indic	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which	ing d	63 <u>Percent</u>	77 <u>Percent</u>	77 <u>Percent</u>	
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indic person(s) engaged in the behavior that was	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which s directed at you. C	ing d heck				Percent
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indic person(s) engaged in the behavior that was all that apply."	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which s directed at you. C <u>Percent</u>	ing d heck <u>Percent</u>	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent 1.0
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indica person(s) engaged in the behavior that was all that apply."	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which s directed at you. C <u>Percent</u> 2.1	ing d heck <u>Percent</u> 0.0	Percent 0.0	Percent 0.0	Percent 1.3	Percent 1.0 1.3
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indica person(s) engaged in the behavior that was all that apply." Pre-clerkship faculty Clerkship faculty (classroom)	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which s directed at you. C <u>Percent</u> 2.1 4.3	ing d heck <u>Percent</u> 0.0 0.0	Percent 0.0 3.2	Percent 0.0 1.3	<u>Percent</u> 1.3 3.9	Percent 1.0 1.3 13.7
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indica person(s) engaged in the behavior that was all that apply." Pre-clerkship faculty Clerkship faculty (classroom) Clerkship faculty (clinical setting)	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which s directed at you. C <u>Percent</u> 2.1 4.3 10.6	ing d heck <u>Percent</u> 0.0 0.0 13.8	Percent 0.0 3.2 12.7	Percent 0.0 1.3 13.0	Percent 1.3 3.9 14.3	15,357 Percent 1.0 1.3 13.7 10.3 3.6
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indica person(s) engaged in the behavior that was all that apply." Pre-clerkship faculty Clerkship faculty (classroom) Clerkship faculty (clinical setting) Resident/Intern	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which s directed at you. C <u>Percent</u> 2.1 4.3 10.6 6.4	ing d heck <u>Percent</u> 0.0 0.0 13.8 6.9	Percent 0.0 3.2 12.7 7.9	Percent 0.0 1.3 13.0 7.8	Percent 1.3 3.9 14.3 9.1	Percent 1.0 1.3 13.7 10.3
Sources of "publicly humiliated"-only beha personally, as percent of all who answered those who indicated they "Never" experien behaviors. The actual question was: "Indic person(s) engaged in the behavior that was all that apply." Pre-clerkship faculty Clerkship faculty (classroom) Clerkship faculty (clinical setting) Resident/Intern Nurse	viors experienced Q39 above, includ ced any of the liste ate below which s directed at you. C <u>Percent</u> 2.1 4.3 10.6 6.4 2.1	ing bd heck <u>Percent</u> 0.0 0.0 13.8 6.9 0.0	Percent 0.0 3.2 12.7 7.9 1.6	Percent 0.0 1.3 13.0 7.8 6.5	Percent 1.3 3.9 14.3 9.1 2.6	Percent 1.0 1.3 13.7 10.3 3.6

Number of respondents 47 58 63 77

42. Sources of behaviors experienced personally, excluding "publicly embarrassed" and "publicly humiliated," as percent of all who answered Q39 above, including those who indicated they "Never" experienced any of the listed behaviors. The actual question was: "Indicate below which person(s) engaged in the behavior that was directed at you. Check all that apply."

	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Pre-clerkship faculty	4.3	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.6
Clerkship faculty (classroom)	2.1	1.7	6.3	2.6	1.3	2.5
Clerkship faculty (clinical setting)	25.5	8.6	6.3	14.3	16.9	20.8
Resident/Intern	21.3	15.5	14.3	15.6	14.3	15.9
Nurse	10.6	1.7	1.6	2.6	3.9	4.8
Administrator	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.6
Other institution employee	4.3	3.4	1.6	1.3	1.3	5.0
Student	10.6	5.2	3.2	5.2	3.9	6.2
Number of respondents	47	58	63	77	77	15,357

77

15,357

1,418

11

Number of respondents

			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
43.	Did you report any of the behaviors listed above faculty member or a member of the medical scho empowered to handle such complaints? Note: The results include those who indicated the experienced at least "Once" any of the behaviors "publicly embarrassed," listed in Q39 above.	ol administra ey had perso	ation				
	·····	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Yes	15.8	40.0	25.0	36.4	39.3	22.2
	No	84.2	60.0	75.0	63.6	60.7	77.8
	Number of respondents	19	15	20	22	28	6,400
44.	To whom did you report the behavior(s)? Check a Note: Only those who responded "Yes" to Q43 co this item. As multiple responses were permitted, 100%.	ould respond	to	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Dean of Students	<u>66.7</u>	<u>50.0</u>	100.0	<u>50.0</u>	36.4	24.1
	Designated counselor/advocate/ombudsperson	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.4 9.1	24.1
	Other medical school administrator	0.0	33.3	0.0	12.5	9.1	26.7
	Faculty member	33.3	33.3	40.0	62.5	54.5	44.6
	Other	0.0	16.7	0.0	12.5	36.4	18.5

45. How satisfied are you with the outcome of having reported the behavior(s)? Note: Only those who responded "Yes" to Q43 could respond to this item.

		Perce	entage of Resp	ondents Sele	ecting Each Ra	iting	
		Very dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Count
All Medical Schools	2018	14.9	17.6	30.2	23.3	13.9	1,414
Texas Tech-Foster	2018	20.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	40.0	10
Texas Tech-Foster	2017	12.5	12.5	0.0	25.0	50.0	8
Texas Tech-Foster	2016	40.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	5
Texas Tech-Foster	2015	16.7	33.3	33.3	16.7	0.0	6
Texas Tech-Foster	2014	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	3

3

6

5

8

			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
46.	If there were any incidents of these behaviors that report, why didn't you report them? Check all that Note: The results include those who indicated the experienced at least "Once" any of the behaviors, "publicly embarrassed," listed in Q39 above. As m	apply. y had perso excluding	nally				
	were permitted, totals may exceed 100%.	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	The incident did not seem important enough to report	63.2	73.3	55.0	50.0	42.9	57.4
	I resolved the issue myself	31.6	26.7	15.0	31.8	14.3	17.0
	I did not think anything would be done about it	31.6	33.3	20.0	27.3	28.6	38.3
	Fear of reprisal	47.4	26.7	20.0	18.2	21.4	27.2
	I did not know what to do	10.5	6.7	0.0	4.5	7.1	10.3
	Other	0.0	0.0	15.0	4.5	7.1	7.2
	Number of respondents	19	15	20	22	28	6,465
17.	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include embarrassment, or behaviors performed by patier	experience		Percent	<u>Percent</u>	Percent	Percent
17.	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include	experiences	s of	Percent 15.9	Percent 13.0	Percent 11.7	
47.	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include embarrassment, or behaviors performed by patier	experiences nts. Percent	s of <u>Percent</u>				21.5
47.	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include embarrassment, or behaviors performed by patier Yes	experiences nts. Percent 25.5	s of Percent 17.2	15.9	13.0	11.7	Percent 21.5 78.5 15,334
	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include embarrassment, or behaviors performed by patier Yes No Number of respondents Did you report any of the behaviors that you witne designated faculty member or a member of the me administration empowered to handle such compla Note: Only those who responded "Yes" at Q47 com	experiences nts. <u>Percent</u> 25.5 74.5 47 essed to a edical schoo aints?	s of <u>Percent</u> 17.2 82.8 58 01	15.9 84.1	13.0 87.0	11.7 88.3	21.5 78.5
	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include embarrassment, or behaviors performed by patier Yes No Number of respondents Did you report any of the behaviors that you witne designated faculty member or a member of the me administration empowered to handle such compla	experiences nts. <u>Percent</u> 25.5 74.5 47 essed to a edical schoo aints?	s of <u>Percent</u> 17.2 82.8 58 01	15.9 84.1	13.0 87.0	11.7 88.3	21.5 78.5 15,334
	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include embarrassment, or behaviors performed by patier Yes No Number of respondents Did you report any of the behaviors that you witne designated faculty member or a member of the me administration empowered to handle such compla Note: Only those who responded "Yes" at Q47 com	experiences nts. Percent 25.5 74.5 47 essed to a edical schoo aints? uld respond	s of <u>Percent</u> 17.2 82.8 58 58 bl to	15.9 84.1 63	13.0 87.0 77	11.7 88.3 77	21.5 78.5 15,334 <u>Percent</u>
47.	During medical school, did you witness other stud any of the behaviors listed above? Do not include embarrassment, or behaviors performed by patier Yes No Number of respondents Did you report any of the behaviors that you witne designated faculty member or a member of the me administration empowered to handle such compla Note: Only those who responded "Yes" at Q47 con this item.	experiences nts. <u>Percent</u> 25.5 74.5 47 essed to a edical schoo aints? uld respond <u>Percent</u>	s of <u>Percent</u> 17.2 82.8 58 58 01 to <u>Percent</u>	15.9 84.1 63 Percent	13.0 87.0 77 Percent	11.7 88.3 77 Percent	21.5 78.5





			Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
49.	Did you receive any scholarships, stipends, or gran medical school?	ts (not loa	ns) for				
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Yes	71.7	69.5	69.8	67.5	61.0	63.5
	No	28.3	30.5	30.2	32.5	39.0	36.5
	Number of respondents	46	59	63	77	77	15,346
50.	Scholarship Categories						
	Note: The upper reportable limit was \$750,000.	D		D		D	
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	No scholarships (\$0)	28.9	31.0	30.6	32.9	40.0	37.2
	\$1 to \$24,999	31.1	41.4	29.0	34.2	32.0	31.4
	\$25,000 to \$49,999	2.2	0.0	12.9	11.8	2.7	9.8
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	33.3	19.0	25.8	14.5	20.0	5.6
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	0.0	3.4	0.0	1.3	0.0	2.8
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	0.0	1.7	1.6	3.9	2.7	4.8
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	4.4	3.4	0.0	1.3	2.7	2.2
	\$200,000 to \$299,999	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9
	\$300,000 or more	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
	Number of respondents	45	58	62	76	75	15,053
	Median scholarship amount of those with scholarships	\$55,500	\$11,500	\$40,000	\$20,000	\$10,000	\$24,050
51.	Do you have any outstanding educational loans for college/premedical education?	your					
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Yes	46.8	25.4	38.1	41.6	32.5	33.4
	No	53.2	74.6	61.9	58.4	67.5	66.6
	Number of respondents	47	59	63	77	77	15,329
52.	Premedical Debt Categories						
	Note: The upper reportable limits were \$500,000 (20 \$300,000 (2014).	15 to 2018) and				
	· ·	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	No debt (\$0)	55.6	74.6	62.9	60.0	67.5	67.6
	\$1 to \$24,999	17.8	8.5	17.7	26.7	13.0	14.7
	\$25,000 to \$49,999	8.9	3.4	6.5	5.3	10.4	8.6
	\$50,000 to \$74,999	2.2	6.8	8.1	0.0	0.0	3.7
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.6
	\$100,000 to \$149,999	6.7	6.8	0.0	5.3	2.6	1.8
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	2.2	0.0	3.2	1.3	0.0	0.7
	\$200,000 or more	2.2	0.0	5.2 1.6	1.3	3.9	1.4
	Number of respondents	45	59	62	75	77	15,102
	Median premedical debt of those reporting premedical debt	\$29,000	\$50,000	\$30,000	\$17,500	\$30,000	\$25,000



			Texas	s Tech-Fo	oster		All
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	201
	o you have any outstanding educational loans (inc		an				
Se	ervice commitments) for your medical school educ		Dement	Devee	Deveent	Descent	Davas
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perce
Ye	/es	89.4	78.0	79.4	80.5	70.1	72
N	lo	10.6	22.0	20.6	19.5	29.9	2
Ν	lumber of respondents	47	59	63	77	77	15,3
N	/ledical School Debt Categories lote: The upper reportable limits were \$600,000 (20 500,000 (2014).	15 to 2018	8) and				
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perc
N	lo debt (\$0)	11.1	22.4	21.0	20.0	30.7	2
	1 to \$49,999	11.1	8.6	11.3	9.3		_
	550,000 to \$99,999	13.3	10.3	12.9	12.0		
	100,000 to \$149,999	40.0	31.0		17.3		1
	150,000 to \$199,999	15.6	19.0		22.7		1
	200,000 to \$299,999	8.9	8.6	14.5	18.7		2
	:300,000 to \$399,999	0.0	0.0		0.0		
	400,000 or more	0.0	0.0		0.0		
Þ '							
	lumber of respondents	45	58	62	75	75	14,9
N M so	lumber of respondents /ledian medical school debt of those reporting medical chool debt				-	75 \$145,000	14,9 \$195,0
N SC TC M Ca Q	lumber of respondents /ledian medical school debt of those reporting medical	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015	\$120,000 are th to	\$140,000	\$150,000	\$145,000	\$195,C
N M So N M Ca Q Q	Number of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical school debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and calculated using only records with complete respon puestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 2018) and \$800,000 (2014).	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u>	\$120,000 are th to <u>Percent</u>	\$140,000 Percent	\$150,000	\$145,000	\$195,0 <u>Perc</u>
N M Sc N M Ca Q Q Q Q Q N	Number of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical school debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and calculated using only records with complete respon guestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014).	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1	\$120,000 are th to <u>Percent</u> 22.4	\$140,000 <u>Percent</u> 19.4	\$150,000 <u>Percent</u> 17.3	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7	\$195,0 <u>Perc</u> 2
N SC TC N C C Q Q Q Q Q Q Q S	Number of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical school debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and calculated using only records with complete respon puestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014).	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1	\$120,000 are th to <u>Percent</u> 22.4 8.6	\$140,000 <u>Percent</u> 19.4 8.1	\$150,000 <u>Percent</u> 17.3 12.0	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3	\$195,0 <u>Perc</u> 2
N SC TC N Ca QI 20 N S ⁺ S ⁺	Number of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical ichool debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and ialculated using only records with complete respon- juestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 101 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$99,999	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 9.1 11.4	\$120,000 are th to <u>Percent</u> 22.4 8.6 8.6	\$140,000 <u>Percent</u> 19.4 8.1 9.7	\$150,000 Percent 17.3 12.0 8.0	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0	\$195,0 <u>Perc</u> 2
N SC TC N C 20 N \$ *	Number of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical ichool debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and ialculated using only records with complete respon- juestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 11 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$149,999 100,000 to \$149,999	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 11.4 27.3	\$120,000 are th to <u>Percent</u> 22.4 8.6 8.6 24.1	\$140,000 <u>Percent</u> 19.4 8.1 9.7 21.0	\$150,000 Percent 17.3 12.0 8.0 16.0	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0 21.3	\$195,0 <u>Perc</u> 2
N So Tr N Tr N Tr N Tr N So So So So So So So So So So So So So	Aumber of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical school debt Total Education Debt Categories Iote: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and alculated using only records with complete respon- juestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 11 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$149,999 1100,000 to \$149,999 1150,000 to \$199,999	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 11.4 27.3 18.2	\$120,000 are th to 22.4 8.6 8.6 24.1 22.4	\$140,000 <u>Percentt</u> 19.4 8.1 9.7 21.0 19.4	\$150,000 Percent 17.3 12.0 8.0 16.0 20.0	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0 21.3 16.0	\$195,0 <u>Perc</u> 2
N M so Tr N m ca qu 20 N \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Aumber of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical school debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and salculated using only records with complete respon- puestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 11 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$149,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999 1200,000 to \$299,999	\$120,000 debt and averages best to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 9.1 11.4 27.3 18.2 22.7	\$120,000 are th to 22.4 8.6 8.6 24.1 22.4 12.1	\$140,000 <u>Percent</u> 19.4 8.1 9.7 21.0 19.4 19.4 19.4	\$150,000 <u>Percent</u> 17.3 12.0 8.0 16.0 20.0 25.3	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0 21.3 16.0 22.7	\$195,0 Perc 2 1 2
N M so Tr N m ca qu 20 N \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Aumber of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical chool debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and calculated using only records with complete respon- puestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 11 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$149,999 150,000 to \$149,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 9.1 11.4 27.3 18.2 22.7 0.0	\$120,000 are th to 22.4 8.6 8.6 24.1 22.4 12.1 1.7	\$140,000 Percent 19.4 8.1 9.7 21.0 19.4 19.4 19.4 0.0	\$150,000 Percent 17.3 12.0 8.0 16.0 20.0 25.3 0.0	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0 21.3 16.0 22.7 4.0	\$195,0 Perc 2 1 2
N M So Tr N m ca qu 20 N \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Aumber of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical ichool debt Total Education Debt Categories Jote: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and ralculated using only records with complete respon juestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100, 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 11 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$149,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999 1300,000 to \$399,999 1300,000 to \$399,999	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 9.1 11.4 27.3 18.2 22.7 0.0 0.0	\$120,000 are th to 22.4 8.6 8.6 24.1 22.4 12.1 1.7 0.0	\$140,000 Percent 19.4 8.1 9.7 21.0 19.4 19.4 0.0 3.2	\$150,000 Percent 17.3 12.0 8.0 16.0 20.0 25.3 0.0 1.3	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0 21.3 16.0 22.7 4.0 0.0	\$195,0 Perc 2 1 2
N M SO TC N m Ca qu 20 N \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Aumber of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical chool debt Total Education Debt Categories Note: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and calculated using only records with complete respon- puestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100,0 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 11 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$149,999 150,000 to \$149,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 9.1 11.4 27.3 18.2 22.7 0.0	\$120,000 are th to 22.4 8.6 8.6 24.1 22.4 12.1 1.7	\$140,000 Percent 19.4 8.1 9.7 21.0 19.4 19.4 19.4 0.0	\$150,000 Percent 17.3 12.0 8.0 16.0 20.0 25.3 0.0	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0 21.3 16.0 22.7 4.0	\$195,0 Perc 2 1 2
N M so Tr M ca qi 20 N \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^ \$^	Aumber of respondents Median medical school debt of those reporting medical ichool debt Total Education Debt Categories Jote: Total education debt is the sum of premedical nedical school debt. The displayed categories and ralculated using only records with complete respon juestions. The upper reportable limits were \$1,100, 1018) and \$800,000 (2014). No debt (\$0) 11 to \$49,999 150,000 to \$149,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999 150,000 to \$199,999 1300,000 to \$399,999 1300,000 to \$399,999	\$120,000 debt and averages ises to bo 000 (2015 <u>Percent</u> 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 9.1	\$120,000 are th to 22.4 8.6 8.6 24.1 22.4 12.1 1.7 0.0 0.0 58	\$140,000 Percent 19.4 8.1 9.7 21.0 19.4 19.4 0.0 3.2 0.0 62	\$150,000 Percent 17.3 12.0 8.0 16.0 20.0 25.3 0.0 1.3 0.0 75	\$145,000 <u>Percent</u> 22.7 9.3 4.0 21.3 16.0 22.7 4.0 0.0	

			Texas	S Tech-Fo	ster		
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	201
5.	Do you have any non-educational, consumer debt t						
	legally required to repay? (This includes credit card home mortgages, or other consumer debt.)	l debt, car	loans,				
	nome mongages, or other consumer dest.	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perce
	Yes		16.9	30.2	28.6	29.3	21
	No		83.1	69.8	71.4	70.7	78
	Number of respondents		59	63	77	75	15,30
7.	Please list the amount of your non-educational, con Note: Amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage were treated as invalid.						
		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Perce
	No debt (\$0)		83.1	71.0	72.4	70.7	79
	\$1 to \$24,999		6.8	19.4	18.4	20.0	14
	\$25,000 to \$49,999		1.7	6.5	5.3	2.7	2
	\$50,000 to \$74,999		0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	
	\$75,000 to \$99,999		1.7	1.6	1.3	1.3	
	\$100,000 to \$149,999 \$150,000 or more		5.1 1.7	0.0 1.6	0.0 1.3	2.7 2.7	
	Number of respondents		59	62	76	75	15,0
	Median non-educational debt of those reporting		\$56,750	\$15,500	\$15,000	\$13,500	¢40.0
	non-educational debt			ψ10,000	ψ10,000	\$13,500	\$12,0
		edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir	ot for ose ivalid,	ψ10,000	φ10,000	\$13,500	\$1 ∠,0
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, con each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir	ot for ose ivalid,	\$4,000	\$5,000	\$4,000	
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, con each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid.	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir	ot for ose ivalid, ot				\$5,0
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, con each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6	\$4,000	\$5,000	\$4,000	\$5,(2,7
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, con each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6	\$4,000 15	\$5,000 15	\$4,000 11	\$5,0 2,1 \$11,0
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, come each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 7	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8	\$5,000 15 \$18,000 11	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11	\$5,(2,7 \$11,(1,7
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, con each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans Number of respondents Mortgage	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 7	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8	\$5,000 15 \$18,000	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11	\$5,0 2,1 \$11,0 1,1 \$150,0
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, come each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans Number of respondents Mortgage Number of respondents	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 7 \$114,000 5	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8 \$112,500 2	\$5,000 15 \$18,000 11 \$117,500 2	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11 \$148,000	\$5,0 2,1 \$11,0 1,1 \$150,0
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, come each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans Number of respondents Mortgage Number of respondents Residency and relocation loans	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 7 \$114,000 5 \$7,500	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8 \$112,500 2 \$7,000	\$5,000 15 \$18,000 11 \$117,500 2 \$10,000	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11 \$148,000	\$5,0 2,1 \$11,0 1,1 \$150,0 5 \$12,0
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, cone each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the merey providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were treand amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage are were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans Number of respondents Mortgage Number of respondents Residency and relocation loans Number of respondents	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 7 \$114,000 5 \$7,500 1	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8 \$112,500 2	\$5,000 15 \$18,000 11 \$117,500 2 \$10,000 2	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11 \$148,000 5	\$5,0 2,1 \$11,0 1,1 \$150,0 \$ \$12,0 2
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, come each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans Number of respondents Mortgage Number of respondents Residency and relocation loans Number of respondents Other consumer loans	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 5 \$114,000 5 \$7,500 1 \$5,000	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8 \$112,500 2 \$7,000	\$5,000 15 \$18,000 11 \$117,500 2 \$10,000 2 \$17,500	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11 \$148,000 5 \$12,000	\$5,0 2,1 \$11,0 1,1 \$150,0 \$12,0 \$12,0 \$10,0
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, come each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans Number of respondents Mortgage Number of respondents Residency and relocation loans Number of respondents Other consumer loans Number of respondents	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 7 \$114,000 5 \$7,500 1 \$5,000 1	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8 \$112,500 2 \$7,000 2	\$5,000 15 \$18,000 11 \$117,500 2 \$10,000 2 \$17,500 2	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11 \$148,000 5 \$12,000 2	\$5,0 2,1 \$11,0 1,1 \$150,0 5 \$12,0 4 \$10,0 1
	non-educational debt Please list the amount of your non-educational, come each category listed below. Note: The number shown in each category is the me providing valid figures. Amounts totaling > \$500,000 cards, car loans, residency, and other loans were tr and amounts totaling > \$10,000,000 for mortgage ar were treated as invalid. Credit cards Number of respondents Car loans Number of respondents Mortgage Number of respondents Residency and relocation loans Number of respondents Other consumer loans	edian of th 0 for credit eated as ir nd total del	ot for ose ivalid, ot \$5,000 6 \$17,000 5 \$114,000 5 \$7,500 1 \$5,000	\$4,000 15 \$12,500 8 \$112,500 2 \$7,000	\$5,000 15 \$18,000 11 \$117,500 2 \$10,000 2 \$17,500	\$4,000 11 \$11,000 11 \$148,000 5 \$12,000	\$5, 2, \$11, 1, \$150, \$12, \$12, \$10,



		Texas	Tech-Fo	ster		All
	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2018
Do you plan to enter into a loan-forgiveness program	ו?					
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percen
Yes	20.9	17.4	35.3	31.3	33.3	45.
No	79.1	82.6	64.7	68.8	66.7	54.3
Number of respondents	43	46	51	64	60	11,559
 Select the type of loan forgiveness program in which participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could re 		this				
participate.		this				
participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could re item.	spond to Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	
participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could re	spond to Percent 22.2	Percent 37.5	Percent 55.6	45.0	35.0	
participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could re item. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps	spond to Percent 22.2 22.2	<u>Percent</u> 37.5 12.5	55.6 0.0	45.0 5.0	35.0 5.0	76.
 participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could reitem. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps Indian Health Service Corps 	spond to <u>Percent</u> 22.2 22.2 0.0	Percent 37.5 12.5 12.5	55.6 0.0 0.0	45.0 5.0 5.0	35.0 5.0 0.0	76. 4. 0.
 participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could reitem. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps Indian Health Service Corps Armed Services (Navy, Army, Air Force) 	spond to Percent 22.2 22.2	<u>Percent</u> 37.5 12.5	55.6 0.0	45.0 5.0	35.0 5.0	76. 4. 0.
 participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could reitem. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps Indian Health Service Corps Armed Services (Navy, Army, Air Force) Uniformed Service (CDC, HHS) 	spond to <u>Percent</u> 22.2 22.2 0.0	Percent 37.5 12.5 12.5	55.6 0.0 0.0	45.0 5.0 5.0	35.0 5.0 0.0	76. 4. 0. 0.
 participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could reitem. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps Indian Health Service Corps Armed Services (Navy, Army, Air Force) Uniformed Service (CDC, HHS) State Ioan forgiveness program 	spond to <u>Percent</u> 22.2 22.2 0.0 0.0	Percent 37.5 12.5 12.5 0.0	55.6 0.0 0.0 5.6	45.0 5.0 5.0 5.0	35.0 5.0 0.0 0.0	76. 4. 0. 0. 0.
 participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could reitem. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps Indian Health Service Corps Armed Services (Navy, Army, Air Force) Uniformed Service (CDC, HHS) State Ioan forgiveness program Hospital program (e.g., sign-on bonus) 	spond to <u>Percent</u> 22.2 22.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Percent 37.5 12.5 12.5 0.0 0.0	55.6 0.0 0.0 5.6 0.0	45.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 0.0	35.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	76. 4. 0. 0. 0. 6.
 participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could reitem. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps Indian Health Service Corps Armed Services (Navy, Army, Air Force) Uniformed Service (CDC, HHS) State Ioan forgiveness program 	spond to <u>Percent</u> 22.2 22.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 22.2	Percent 37.5 12.5 12.5 0.0 0.0 0.0	55.6 0.0 0.0 5.6 0.0 22.2	45.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 0.0 20.0	35.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.0	76. 4. 0. 0. 0. 6. 8.
 participate. Note: Only those who selected "Yes" at Q59 could reitem. Department of Education's Public Service Loan Forgiveness (PSLF) National Health Service Corps Indian Health Service Corps Armed Services (Navy, Army, Air Force) Uniformed Service (CDC, HHS) State Ioan forgiveness program Hospital program (e.g., sign-on bonus) 	spond to <u>Percent</u> 22.2 22.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 22.2 33.3	Percent 37.5 12.5 12.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 25.0	55.6 0.0 5.6 0.0 22.2 11.1	45.0 5.0 5.0 0.0 20.0 10.0	35.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 15.0 35.0	Percen 76.: 4. 0. 0. 6. 8. 0. 2.

2018 GQ References

Each item number below refers to the question number in the 2018 GQ All Schools Summary Report.

Q17. Medical School Learning Environment Survey (MSLES)

Marshall RE. Measuring the medical school learning environment. Journal of medical education. 1978;53(2):98-104.

Q21. Tolerance for Ambiguity (TFA)

Geller G, Tambor ES, Chase GA, Holtzman NA. Measuring physicians' tolerance for ambiguity and its relationship to their reported practices regarding genetic testing. *Medical care*. 1993;31(11):989-1001.

Caulfield M, Andolsek K, Grbic D, Roskovensky L. Ambiguity tolerance of students matriculating to U.S. medical schools. *Acad Med.* 2014;89(11):1526-1532.

Q22. Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI)

Hojat M, Spandorfer J, Louis DZ, Gonnella JS. Empathic and sympathetic orientations toward patient care: conceptualization, measurement, and psychometrics. *Acad Med.* 2011;86(8):989-995.

Davis MH. Measuring individual differences in empathy: evidence for a multidimensional approach. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 1983;44:113-126.

Q23. Oldenburg Burnout Inventory for Medical Students Scale

Halbesleben JRB, Demerouti E. The construct validity of an alternative measure of burnout: Investigating the English translation of the Oldenburg Burnout Inventory. *Work and Stress*. 2005;19(3):208-220.