

+



TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY
HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER™
EL PASO

Paul L. Foster School of Medicine

Course Syllabus:

College Colloquium (CC)

PMAS 6111 (College Colloquium III -- fall semester)

PMAS 6112 (College Colloquium IV -- spring semester)

Academic Year 2025 - 2026

Table of Contents

College Colloquium (CC)	1
Course Description	4
Competencies, Program Goals and Objectives, and Outcome Measures	5
Instructional Methods	8
Course Policies and Procedures	8
Overview	8
Attendance and Participation	9
Written Assignments	10
Reflective/Ethical Analyses	10
Self-Directed Learning Assignments	10
Professionalism, Plagiarism, and Copyright Policies	12
Electronic Devices	12
Student Governance	12
Schedule	12
Important Due Dates	12
Required Text	13
Office of Accessibility Services (OAS)	13
Statement of Accommodation for Pregnant or Parenting Students	13
Reporting Student Mistreatment	14
Faculty Roster	14
Agave (Red) College - MEB 2250	14
Appendix 1	15
Appendix 2	16
Appendix 3	17
Appendix 4	18
Appendix 5	19
Appendix 6	20
Appendix 7	21
Appendix 8	22

Contact Information

Course Directors:

Brittany Shoemake, M.D., C.W.S.P.
Office: MEB 2250B
Phone: 915-215-6705
Brittany.Shoemake@ttuhsc.edu

Dale Quest, Ph.D.
Office: MEB 2240C
Phone: 915-215-4346
Dale.Quest@ttuhsc.edu

[interim] Program Coordinator:

Lopaka Ahia
Office: MEB 2200
Phone: 915-215-6566
lahia@ttuhsc.edu

Course Hours:

Tuesdays
(8:00 AM-10:00 AM or 10:00AM – 12:00PM)

Course Description

Overview

College Colloquium III and IV are a series of weekly 2-hour discussion-based sessions that occur on Tuesday mornings for medical students in Year 2. Sessions include student-led discussions as teachers who learn to plan and deliver effective learning experiences for college-mates on topics: ethics, controversies in medicine, evidence-based medicine, critical thinking, safety and quality improvement, communication, humanism, empathy, wellness, life-long learning, bias, leadership, professionalism and social justice.

In addition to participation in class discussions, the courses include reflective writing assignments, ethical analyses, and self-directed learning assignments. Grading is Pass / Fail. Assessment is based on satisfactory completion of written and self-directed learning assignments, oral presentations, participation and overall professionalism evaluation in Colloquium. Professionalism assessments will be completed at the end of the year.

The College Colloquium Course is designed to encourage students to examine their own beliefs and thinking, and to learn about and respect the opinions of students with different life experiences, beliefs and perspectives. There is an expectation of civil discourse, even when there are disagreements in opinions.

This course aims to help students succeed as compassionate and accountable physicians and teachers, who communicate effectively, understand and apply principles of medical ethics, in preparation to practice with professionalism and personal integrity.

Competencies, Program Goals and Objectives, and Outcome Measures

The Paul L. Foster School of Medicine education program goals and objectives are outcome-based statements that guide instruction and assessment as you develop the knowledge and abilities expected of a physician. All elements of the PLFSOM curriculum are derived from and contribute to the fulfillment of one or more of the medical education program's goals and objectives, which can be found at [PLFSOM PGOs](#). The College Colloquium is designed to teach and assess the following PLFSOM education program goals and objectives:

Practice-Based Learning and Improvement		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
PBL-3.1	Identify gaps in one's knowledge, skills, and/or attitudes, and perform learning activities to address them.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Self-Directed Learning Assignment Rubric; ILP Reflection Rubric)
PBL-3.6	Participate in the education of patients, families, students, trainees, peers, and other health professionals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Narrative Assessment (Student presentation assessment rubric)
Interpersonal and Communication Skills		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
ICS-4.2	Communicate effectively with colleagues and other health care professionals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Student presentation assessment rubric)
ICS-4.3	Communicate with sensitivity, honesty, compassion and empathy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion)
Professionalism		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
PRO-5.1	Demonstrate sensitivity, compassion and respect for all people.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion)
PRO-5.2	Demonstrate knowledge of and appropriately apply ethical principles pertaining to patient privacy, autonomy and informed consent.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Assessment rubric for critical reflection writing assignment)
PRO-5.3	Demonstrate accountability to patients and fellow members of the health care team.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion)

PRO-5.4	Demonstrate and apply knowledge of ethical principles pertaining to the provision or withholding of care.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Narrative Assessment (Assessment rubric for ethical analysis writing assignment; Professionalism assessment rubric)
PRO-5.5	Demonstrate and apply knowledge of ethical principles pertaining to health care related business practices and health care administration, including compliance with relevant laws, policies, regulations and the avoidance of conflicts of interest.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Assessment rubric for ethical analysis writing assignment; Professionalism assessment rubric)
PRO-5.6	Demonstrate honesty and integrity in all professional and academic interactions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Professionalism assessment rubric)
PRO-5.7	Meet professional and academic commitments and obligations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Student presentation assessment rubric; Professionalism assessment rubric)
Systems-Based Practice		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
SBP-6.1	Describe the health system and its components, how the system is funded and how it affects individual and community health.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion)
SBP-6.3	Incorporate considerations of benefits, risks and costs in patient and/or population care.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion)
Interprofessional Collaboration		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
IPC-7.1	Describe the roles and responsibilities of health care professionals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion)
IPC-7.3	Participate in different team roles to establish, develop, and continuously enhance interprofessional teams to provide patient- and population-centered care that is safe, timely, efficient, effective, and equitable.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Student presentation assessment rubric)
IPC-7.4	Recognize and respond appropriately to circumstances involving conflict with peers, other health care professionals and team members.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment

		(Student presentation assessment rubric)
Personal and Professional Development		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
PPD-8.1	Recognize when to take responsibility and when to seek assistance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion)
PPD-8.2	Demonstrate healthy coping mechanisms in response to stress and professional responsibilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Assessment rubric for critical reflection writing assignment)
PPD-8.3	Demonstrate flexibility in adjusting to change and difficult situations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Assessment rubric for critical reflection writing assignment)
PPD-8.4	Utilize appropriate resources and coping mechanisms when confronted with uncertainty and ambiguous situations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participation (Facilitated discussion) • Narrative Assessment (Assessment rubric for self reflection essay)

College Colloquium courses III and IV course objective:

(mapping to the institutional goals as indicated in parentheses).

By completion of the College Colloquium III (MS-2 fall semester) and College Colloquium IV (MS-2 spring semester) courses, students will:

- develop with increasing effectiveness as teachers by developing and delivering valuable learning experiences for their college peers on assigned student team-taught session (PBL-3.6; ICS-4.2; ICS-4.3; PRO-5.1; PRO-5.7)
- participate as actively engaged members of their learning communities as learners in student team-taught sessions, (ICS-4.2; ICS-4.3; PRO-5.1; PRO-5.7)
- advance self-development, professionalism, and application of ethical principles through written self-reflections and written ethical analysis, (PRO-5.1; PRO-5.2; PRO-5.3; PRO-5.4; PRO-5.5; PRO-5.6; PRO-5.7),
- proceed to develop professional identity, (PRO-5.3; PRO-5.5; PRO-5.7; IPC-7.1; IPC-7.3; IPC-7.4)
- increase skills, attitude and proficiency for life-long self-directed learning through successful completion of independent learning plan assignments, (PBL-3.1)

Instructional Methods

Each student, as a part of a team, is expected to lead the discussion in at least one session in College Colloquium during the academic year. There are 2 required reflective writing and/or ethical analysis exercises in the first semester, with a summary reflection essay assignment in the second semester. In addition, students will be required to complete a self-directed learning activity each semester.

Course Policies and Procedures

Overview

- Detailed information regarding institutional and school-level grading procedures and transcript notations can be found in the TTUHSC-EP '[Grading Procedures and Academic Regulations](#)' (HSCEP OP 59.05) policy and PLFSOM '[Grading, Promotion, and Academic Standing](#)' (GPAS) policy. PLFSOM policy on Grade Changes and transcript notations (subsidiary to HSCEP OP 59.05) can be found on the website at https://ttuhscep.edu/som/ome/CEPC/documents/secure/Grade_Changes_and_Transcript_Notations_Clean_Version_for_Web.pdf

Grading for all College Colloquium Courses is Pass/Fail. Assessment is based on students' satisfactory completion of written and self-directed learning assignments, oral presentations,

participation and overall professionalism evaluation in Colloquium. College Mentors will also provide formative feedback to students regarding their oral or written assignments and a professionalism assessment.

Attendance and Participation

Students are responsible for activities and preparation within their individual Colleges. All Colleges will complete the sessions and achieve the corresponding objectives listed for each, but activities and schedules may vary between Colleges. There will be professionalism assessments completed at the end of the academic year that will evaluate attitude, participation and attendance (Appendix 4).

As outlined in the PLFSOM [‘Pre-clerkship phase attendance policy’](#), failure to meet the school’s overall expectations for attendance and participation can lead to a number of consequences including failure of a course or referral to the GPC for professionalism concerns. Attendance and participation in College Colloquium are required. Attendance will be taken at each session by ‘badging’ in with a course coordinator prior to the session. Tardiness beyond 10 minutes will be considered an absence. An absence (excused or unexcused) will be remediated by writing an essay on the topic covered in the missed session (minimum 1000-1500 words). The student needs to submit a make-up assignment of adequate quality before the end of the semester. Each of the remediation written assignments must be of sufficient quality to receive a grade of at least 3 points on the appropriate grading rubric (Appendices 1 and 2). Failure to meet this requirement will result in a failing grade for the course. Absence requests must be made through the [PLFSOM absence management system](#).

Students are assigned to small teams and are expected to effectively work as a member of the team to research, prepare, and deliver rich student-led learning experience and student-facilitated discussion for their peers. Each team will be assigned a topic that pertains to ethics, controversies in medicine, evidence-based medicine, critical thinking, safety and quality improvement, communication, humanism, empathy, wellness, life-long learning, bias, leadership, professionalism and social justice. The aims are to foster students' development of independent and collaborative learning skills, preparing them to be students as teachers. It highlights their growth as student doctors from year 1 to year 2 of their training, with students taking increasing responsibility for their own and their peers' education.

To pass the presentation for the Colloquium session led by their team, the student must score an average of 2 points on the presentation grading rubric (Appendix 3). Failing to receive an average of 2 points on their presentation, they must discuss a remediation plan with their respective College Mentors. If the student is unable to attend the session led by their assigned team, it is their responsibility to switch teams (date and topic) with another student and to

notify their College Mentors about this switch. Absence for their assigned team presentation and failure to switch teams must be remediated if the student has an excused absence (illness), they will be expected to remediate the assignment.

Written Assignments

Reflective/Ethical Analyses

Coursework includes written assignments (reflections or ethical analyses). Grades are assigned by College Mentors, based upon satisfactory completion of written assignments, self-directed learning assignments, oral presentation, participation and overall professionalism evaluation in Colloquium. Grading rubrics are included in the Appendix. Formative feedback to students regarding their written assignments will be provided for the first reflective and ethical analysis assignments per semester. Topics, instructions, and grading rubrics will be provided at least 10 days in advance of the due date for the assignment. Each written assignment must be of sufficient quality to receive a score of at least 3 out of 4 possible points on the appropriate grading rubric (Appendices 1 and 2). The assigned paper needs to be at least 1000 words in length. For any absence, excused or unexcused, the student needs to submit a make-up assignment of adequate quality before the end of the semester. If a score of 1 or 2 points is received on a written assignment, or the student fails to submit their written assignment, the student will need to meet with their respective College Mentors to discuss remediation. Failure to submit assigned essays, complete required remediation for absences, or revise low scoring essays (rated 1-2) may result in referral to the Grading and Promotion Committee. Unprofessional behavior will result in referral to the Grading and Promotion Committee. (See Course Policies and Procedures).

Scheduled written assignments with prompts
<p>Fall 2025 Semester – Reflection Essay: Developing Resilience in Medicine (assign on 9/16/2025)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reflect on a personal or observed experience during your medical training that challenged your emotional or mental well-being. In a 1000-word essay, explore how you responded to the challenge, what you learned about resilience in medicine, and how you plan to sustain it throughout your career.
<p>Fall 2025 Semester – Ethical Analysis: Ethics of Life Balance in Medicine (assign on 11/11/2025)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyze the ethical challenges related to gender and work-life balance in medicine, focusing on how these issues affect both patients and physicians. In your essay, discuss potential disparities in treatment or expectations for women versus men, applying relevant ethical principles to explore fairness and equity in medical practice.
<p>Spring 2026 Semester – Ethical Analysis: Pediatric Decision-Making (assign on 1/13/2026)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discuss the ethical challenges involved in making clinical decisions for the treatment of seriously ill children. Consider the perspectives of the child, their parents or guardians, and the healthcare team.

Self-Directed Learning Assignments

With today's rapidly expanding biomedical knowledge, advancing technology, and evolving

healthcare delivery systems, practicing physicians will need to acquire new knowledge and skill sets throughout their careers. Self-directed, life-long learning is therefore a skill that is central to competent health professionals. The Liaison Committee on Medical Education defines self-directed learning as a stepwise process that occurs over a unified sequence:

1. A medical student's self assessment of their learning needs
2. Independent identification, analysis, and synthesis of relevant information
3. Appraisal of the quality and credibility of information sources
4. Feedback from faculty on learners gaining proficiency for self-directed learning

Other key components of self-directed learning are:

- auto-formation: the learner will set specific and measurable learning objectives to satisfy self-diagnosed learning needs.
- strategic learning approach: the learner will identify and assemble critically appraised resources required to achieve the learning objectives they set out to achieve.
- evidence: the learner will prospectively specify how they will demonstrate achievement of their learning objectives.
- validation: the learner will devise and arrange a plan to solicit external appraisal of the level of achievement of the learning that they will have demonstrated by the evidence.
- time-bound: the learner will demonstrate appreciation of a realistically achievable schedule to execute the self-directed learning by a specified end date.

Each learner will undertake an independent self-exploration to inventory their current gaps in knowledge, skills and attitudes, then formulate specific and measurable objectives to address those self-identified learning needs. The rubric will provide structure to illustrate how a self-directed learning plan will encompass all five key components of increasingly independent self-directed learning.

In the fall semester of second year, students will identify learning needs based on CBSE results. After identifying learning gaps, the student will formulate a learning plan, identify a faculty preceptor, discuss the plan with the preceptor and meet regularly with the preceptor for follow ups in progress. Identifying a preceptor who can provide guidance and support in achieving these goals is essential. The student will then produce objective evidence, such as a PowerPoint presentation, an oral presentation to the preceptor, or a self-authored assessment tool/quiz, to achievement of their learning objective(s). Examples of the self-directed learning independent learning plan template and rubric are included in the Appendix 5 and 6.

In the spring semester, the individual self-directed learning assignment will be components of an autopsy case, then team members' individual self-directed learning efforts will comprise a comprehensive case study embedded in the 'Tankside Grand Rounds' team assignment.

Professionalism Development

A statement describing professionalism development will be placed in each student's e-portfolio at the end of the academic year. This consists of a mandatory statement that reflects the College Mentors' impression of the student's attendance, participation, attitude, etc. This statement may also include free-form comments and suggestions for improvement, added at the discretion of the College Mentors.

Professionalism, Plagiarism, and Copyright Policies

In College Colloquium, as with all other courses at the Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, students will adhere to the Student Honor Code as well as the plagiarism and copyright policies described in the Student Handbook or be subject to disciplinary action.

Electronic Devices

Use of electronic devices (e.g., laptops and cell phones) or non-colloquium study materials is not permitted during sessions unless specifically allowed by the College Mentors.

Student Governance

Surveys, discussions or announcements, especially those related to the Student Curriculum Committee or Student Government, etc. are permitted at the beginning of colloquium sessions; however, these should be limited to the first 20 minutes of the session.

Schedule

A detailed schedule of College Colloquium sessions, with the topic to be covered for each session, along with due dates for written assignments will be distributed to the students directly (by email) and also available on the College Colloquium web page prior to the beginning of each semester.

Important Due Dates

Due Date	Assignment
August 17, 2025	Fall Initial SDL Plan (one learning objective)
October 3, 2025	Reflective Essay – Developing Resilience in Medicine
October 5, 2025	Fall Revised SDL Plan
November 9, 2025	Fall Final Validated SDL Product
November 21, 2025	Ethical Analysis – Gender and Life Balance in Medicine
January 23, 2026	Ethical Analysis – Pediatric Decision-Making

Required Text

There is no required text for the College Colloquium Courses, though students are encouraged to explore Colloquium topics individually.

Office of Accessibility Services (OAS)

TTUHSC EP is committed to providing equal access to learning opportunities to students with documented disabilities. To ensure access to this course, and your program, please contact the Office of Accessibility Services (OAS), by calling 915-215-4398, to engage in a confidential conversation about the process for requesting accommodations in the classroom and clinical setting. Accommodations are not provided retroactively, so students are encouraged to register with OAS as soon as possible. More information can be found on the OAS website:

<https://el Paso.ttuhsc.edu/student services/accessibility/>

Statement of Accommodation for Pregnant or Parenting Students

To support the academic success of pregnant and parenting students and students with pregnancy related conditions, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center El Paso offers reasonable modifications based on the student's particular needs. Any student who is pregnant or parenting a child up to age 18 or has conditions related to pregnancy may contact the Manager of Accessibility and Student Advocacy, to discuss available support and resources. Additionally, the Title IX Coordinator and Parenting and Pregnancy Liaison is available to work with students and others, as needed, to ensure equal access to the University's education programs or activities. For more information regarding supportive measures, please visit the TTUHSC El Paso Pregnant and Parenting Students [website](#). Students may submit a [Pregnancy and Parenting Support Form](#) to request assistance or contact:

Norma Fuentes

Manager of Accessibility and Student Advocacy

norma.fuentes@ttuhsc.edu or 915.215.4398

Leslie Bean

TTUHSC Title IX Coordinator and Pregnant and Parenting Student Liaison

leslie.bean@ttuhsc.edu or 806.743.9861.

Reporting Student Mistreatment

TTUHSC El Paso strives for a positive and supportive learning environment. If at any time you experience any mistreatment by faculty, staff or other students, please report it directly to Course Director(s) or use the QR code to submit a report.



Faculty Roster

Agave (Red) College - MEB 2250

Curt Pfarr, PhD

Tel.: 915-215-4344

curt.pfarr@ttuhsc.edu

Brittany Shoemake, MD, CWSP

Tel.: 915-215-6705

brittany.shoemake@ttuhsc.edu

Chaparral (Blue) College - MEB 2240

Angelica Padilla, MD

Tel.: 915-215-5778

DrAngelica.Padilla@ttuhsc.edu

Dale Quest, PhD

Tel.: 915-215-4346

dale.quest@ttuhsc.edu

Desert Sage (Purple) College – MEB 2140

Biff Palmer, MD

Tel.: 915-215-4779

bipalmer@ttuhsc.edu

Ocotillo (Green) College - MEB 2230

Namrata Singh, MD

Tel.: 915-215-5726

namrata.singh@ttuhsc.edu

Prickly Pear (Gold) College - MEB 2220

Mariela Lane, MD

Tel.: 915-215-4126

marielan@ttuhsc.edu

Tamer Fandy, PhD

Tel.: 915-215-6677

Tamer.fandy@ttuhsc.edu

Appendix 1

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR CRITICAL REFLECTION WRITING ASSIGNMENTS

Level (points)	Reflection Performances	Examples
1	Describes without reflecting	"We took care of this patient, considered their needs, addressed their concerns and challenges, and did a good job"
2	Reflects but only gives personal opinions	"I felt more confident about my skills and I expect the patient will check her blood sugars more frequently and return for her appointments."
3	Reflects using external evidence as well as personal opinions and prior experiences. Includes evidence of lessons learned.	"In the past I have approached patients like this by providing them with monitoring sheet and not evaluating their literacy level. In this case I established that the patient and his family that he had limited English proficiency and used level-appropriate materials to inform him."
4	Integrates previous experience with current events and lessons learned to construct a plan for future action.	"I recall a patient 3 years ago who presented with similar symptoms and we treated him like 'X'. Although there are currently better treatments available the overall care is still relevant & we learned 'Y' which will be incorporated into the way we treat our patients in the future."

Comments or suggestions for improvement:

Adapted from: the Learning from your Experiences as a Professional (L.E.A.P.) model of writing reflections developed by Dr Louise Aronson at UCSF and from Lee Learman, MD PhD and Patricia O'Sullivan Edo University of California, San Francisco

Appendix 2

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR ETHICAL ANALYSIS WRITING ASSIGNMENTS

Level (points)	Analysis Performance	Guidelines
1	Describes topic without analysis	Narrative description of topic but no evidence of analysis
2	Describes topic with limited analysis	Relies on minimal perspective of topic and/or uses poor sources for analysis
3	Describes topic and provides adequate analysis founded on the principles of medical ethics	Relies on multiple perspectives of topic that have peer-reviewed expertise for evaluation
4	Beyond describing the topic and providing adequate analysis, the student describes a learning plan to use this analysis as a spring board for future study on this topic	Identifies how this analysis will be used for future study with a specific plan

Comments or suggestions for improvement:

Appendix 3

COLLEGE COLLOQUIUM STUDENT PRESENTATION SCORING RUBRIC

	1	2	3	Score
Teamwork	Minimal or no collaboration or communication; team members worked independently; connection between subtopics discussed was not clearly discernable	Only minor occurrences of communication breakdown or failure to collaborate; connection between subtopics discussed was clearly discernable; team members treated each other with respect	Team produced a coordinated session with each member making a valuable contribution to topic; team demonstrated high level of mutual respect, communication, collaboration	_____
Subject Knowledge	Failed to demonstrate knowledge of topic; lacking in research or depth of thinking about topic	Demonstrated good knowledge of their topic; somewhat lacking in research or depth of thinking about topic	Demonstrated excellent knowledge of topic with full integration of concepts; evidence of extensive research or depth of thinking about topic	_____
Organization	Session was poorly organized; flow of discussion was difficult to follow	Good organization; easy to follow discussion most of the time	Excellent organization; very easy to follow discussion throughout	_____
Creativity, Ability to Promote Discussion	Lacked creativity; minimal group discussion stimulated; peers' opinions not elicited or valued	Good creativity; good on-topic group discussion stimulated	Excellent creativity; original and creative presentation that maximized on-topic group participation; peers' opinions elicited, greatly valued, respected	_____

Average Score _____

Comments: _____

Demonstration of Professionalism	Some reluctance toward assignment; some lack of respect for others	Good attitude toward assignment; good respect for others	Excellent attitude toward assignment; very respectful of others
---	--	--	---

Professionalism Comments: _____

Appendix 4

END OF YEAR PROFESSIONALISM ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

(Please check the appropriate boxes and include comments)

	Needs Improvement	Met
Respect for People		
Can Use Ethical Principles		
Demonstrates Empathy/Compassion		
Honesty in Activities		
Meets Obligations		
Responsive to Feedback		
Able to Reflect		
Engagement in Colloquium		

Comments: _____

Appendix 5

SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING (SDL) PLAN TEMPLATE

Name:

Date:

College:

- 1) Self-assessment: Reflecting on your current growth as a medical student:
 - a. What specific area(s) have you identified for improvement (knowledge, skills, attitudes)?

 - b. Why have you chosen these areas?

- 2) Self-Directed Learning Plan: Outline your SMART learning objectives. Include associated PLFSOM educational program goals/objectives (PGOs), learning resources and strategies, success measures, and target completion dates. The following SDL template can provide a useful framework for outlining your SDL plan:

Learning objectives (use the SMART framework)	Strategies/Resources/Tools (How will you learn it, usually multiple strategies involved)	Target Date for Completion	Evidence of Accomplishment of Objectives (How will you evaluate your progress)	Criteria and Means for Validation (How will someone else know you learned it)

Adapted from Knowles, Malcolm S. The Adult Learner: A Neglected Species. 4th Ed.

Appendix 6
SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING (SDL) PLAN RUBRIC
FIRST & SECOND STUDENT SUBMISSIONS

Student Name:
Date:
College:
Faculty Preceptor:

	Acceptable	Needs Improvement	Comments
Self-assessment summary	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has written a detailed reflective summary <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies one area for focused improvement <input type="checkbox"/> Is substantiated by evidence (assessment data, feedback, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has not written a detailed self-assessment summary <input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify an area for focused improvement <input type="checkbox"/> Supporting evidence is lacking or unclear	
SMART Objective	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has clearly articulated a learning objectives that is derived from their self-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> The learning objective is specific, measureable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound	<input type="checkbox"/> Learning objectives are unclear, difficult to measure, or incongruent with self-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> The learning objective does not meet SMART goals	
Learning Strategies and Tools	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has identified appropriate strategies to achieve these objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Student has identified appropriate credible resources to support objectives	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategies are unclear or misaligned with objectives <input type="checkbox"/> Resources not identified or are of questionable credibility	
Evaluation & Validation Methods	<input type="checkbox"/> Developed a strong, feasible method for self-evaluation and external validation	<input type="checkbox"/> Still developing	

Overall comments:

Appendix 7
SELF-DIRECTED LEARNING (SDL) PLAN RUBRIC
FINAL STUDENT SUBMISSION

Student Name:
Date:
College:
Faculty Preceptor:

	Acceptable	Needs Improvement	Comments
Self-assessment summary	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has written a detailed reflective summary <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies one or more areas for focused improvement <input type="checkbox"/> Is substantiated by evidence (assessment data, feedback, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has not written a detailed self-assessment summary <input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify one or more areas for focused improvement <input type="checkbox"/> Supporting evidence is lacking or unclear	
Learning Goals	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has clearly articulated learning objectives that are derived from their self-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Objectives are appropriately mapped to one or more PLFSOM educational PGOs	<input type="checkbox"/> Learning objectives are unclear, difficult to measure, or incongruent with self-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Objectives are not appropriately mapped to one or more PLFSOM educational PGOs	
Learning Strategies	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has identified appropriate strategies to achieve these objectives	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategies are unclear or misaligned with objectives	
Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Student has identified appropriate credible resources to support objectives	<input type="checkbox"/> Resources not identified or are of questionable credibility	
Overall evaluation of self-directed learning skills	<input type="checkbox"/> Developed	<input type="checkbox"/> Still developing	

Overall comments:

Appendix 8

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR PERSONAL REFLECTION/PERSONAL STATEMENT WRITING ASSIGNMENT

Level 1. Needs improvement 2. Satisfactory 3. Exceptional	Performance criteria for a personal reflection on success of independent learning plan to improve study skills and habits
	The learner's ability to independently assess their own current study acumen that fits their learning style, and improvement need to achieve success in pre-clerkship courses
	Created learning objectives that were Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, Time-bound, Evaluated, Revised/Refined [S.M.A.R.T.E.R.] improve study skills and habits to ensure greatest success in pre-clerkship courses
	Highlights what defines their unique learning style and preference, and relates to how that might shape their plan to improve study skills and habits to ensure greatest success in pre-clerkship courses
	Charts a forward looking logical progression in their plans to achieve greatest success in pre-clerkship courses
	Anticipates due dates in the context of all other demands, and thus managed time effectively to accomplish your independent learning plan to achieve stated objectives
	Attention to writing style and readability, limitations of one-page (approximately 1,000 to 1,500 words)
{ _____ } is the learner's estimation of overall progress toward achievement of learning objectives	
Learner comments or suggestions for continuing improvement:	
College Mentor narrative assessment:	

Appendix 9: Summary of session dates and topics

CCIII Fall 2025		CCIV Spring 2026	
Session Date	Session Name	Session Date	Session Name
8/12/2025	3.1 - Orientation to Clerkship Year 3&4/Introduction to ILP	1/13/2026	4.1 - Pediatric Ethical Decision-Making - IC ethical analysis
8/19/2025	3.2 - Artificial Intelligence	1/20/2026	4.2 - Physicians Errors
8/26/2025	3.3 - Physician's Response to Guns & Violence	1/27/2026	4.3 - Patient Safety and Patient Mistrust Bridge the Divide Activity
9/2/2025	3.4 - Global Health Inequity	2/3/2026	4.4 - Mental Health Crisis
9/9/2025	3.5 - Drug Companies & Economics of Healthcare	2/10/2026	4.5 - Orientation to Third Year/Panel Discussion - In-class personal reflection of ILP and study patterns
9/16/2025	3.6 - Managing Stress, Developing resilience in MS - ICRE	2/17/2026	NO CLASS
9/23/2025	3.7 - Ethics in Geriatric Medicine	3/3/2026	Tankside Grand Rounds
9/30/2025	NO CLASS		
10/7/2025	3.8 - Treatment of Undocumented Immigrants and Refugees		
10/14/2025	3.9 - Medical Nemesis		
10/21/2025	3.10 - Race and Ethnicity: Emerging Concepts in Medicine		
10/28/2025	3.11 - Mental Health Crisis in Medicine		
11/4/2025	NO CLASS		
11/11/2025	3.12 - Gender & Life Balance in Medicine - IC Ethical Analysis		
11/18/2025	3.13 - Human Trafficking		
11/25/2025	NO CLASS		
12/2/2025	3.14 - Precision Medicine		
12/9/2025	3.15 - Reproduction Ethics		
12/16/2025	3.16 - Open Forum		
12/23/2025	NO CLASS		