# **Uterine Morcellation: Teasing Out the Issues**

Stacey A. Scheib, MD, FACOG Director, Minimally Invasive Gynecology Director, Hopkins Multidisciplinary Fibroid Center Johns Hopkins Hospital



## Disclosures

• I have no industry conflicts of interest to report.

I'm a fellowship trained minimally invasive gynecologist

 Have utilized morcellation throughout my career



### **Disclosures**

"It is only about things that do not interest one that one can give really unbiased opinions, which is no doubt the reason why an unbiased opinion is always valueless."

-Oscar Wilde



# **Objectives**

- Describe contemporary data regarding the incidence of occult uterine malignancies in women undergoing presumed benign gynecologic surgery
- Discuss the implications, management and prognosis of leiomyosarcoma (LMS) and endometrial stromal sarcoma (ESS) in morcellated cases
- Review strategies to reduce the risk of encountering occult uterine malignancies during morcellation



# April 17, 2014

### FDA Warns Against Morcellation in Hysterectomy, Myomectomy

"If laparoscopic power morcellation is performed in women with unsuspected uterine sarcoma, there is a risk that the procedure will spread the cancerous tissue within the abdomen and pelvis, significantly worsening the patient's likelihood of long-term survival. For this reason, and because there is no reliable method for predicting whether a woman with fibroids may have a uterine sarcoma, the FDA discourages the use of laparoscopic power morcellation during hysterectomy or myomectomy for uterine fibroids."



# Assumptions We Can Agree On...

- Morcellation has allowed hundreds of thousands of women to undergo MIS procedures
- The rate of occult uterine malignancy in reproductive-aged women undergoing hysterectomy/myomectomy for presumed benign indications is <u>extremely low</u>.
- The prognosis of women diagnosed with a high grade sarcoma <u>of any stage</u> is poor

AAGL J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2011;18:1–3 Rosero et al. Obstet Gynecol. 2013;122:778–786



# Need cool heads and scientific reasoning to answer the question:

# Is the status quo our best, or can we do better?





# EPIDEMIOLOGY OF LMS AND ESS



### Incidence of Occult Uterine Sarcoma at Benign GYN Surgery

Author	Year Published	Study Years	Procedure(s)	Indication(s)	Country	Total Patients	Number of Uterine Sarcomas	Rate of Uterine Sarcoma (95%CI)	Number of Leiomyosarcomas	Rate of Leiomyosarcoma (95% CI)
Primary Analysis										
Leibsohn, et al. <sup>1</sup>	1990	1983-1988	Hysterectomy	Leiomyoma(s)	U.S.	1429	7	4.9 (2.0-10.1)	7	4.9 (2.0-10.1)
Reiter, et al. <sup>2</sup>	1992	1986-1989	Hysterectomy	Leiomyoma(s)	U.S.	104	0	0.0 (0.0-34.9)	0	0.0 (0.0-34.9)
Parker, et al. <sup>3</sup>	1994	1988-1992	Hysterectomy or Myomectomy	Leiomyoma(s)	U.S.	1332	3	2.3 (0.5-6.6)	1	0.8 (0.0-4.2)
Takamizawa, et al.4	1999	1983-1997	Hysterectomy	Leiomyoma(s)	Japan	923	2	2.2 (0.3-7.8)	1	1.1 (0.0-6.0)
Sinha, et al. <sup>5</sup>	2006	1002 2005	Manager	Taiannaara(a)	To dia	505	2	40.005.14.20	2	4.0 (0.5-14.2)
Kamikabeya, et al. <sup>6</sup>									0.7 (0.0-4.1)	
Rowland, et al. <sup>7</sup>	- • Kate of uterine sarcoma = $0.0$ to $9.1\%$							2.7 (0.6-7.8)		
Leung, et al. <sup>8</sup>									2.3 (0.5-6.8)	
Seidman, et al. <sup>9</sup>	$\pm$ •Few studies in which overall hysterectomy								0.9 (0.0-5.1)	
									0.0 (0.0.5 7)	
Hageman, et al. <sup>11</sup>	ightarrow denominator is known and even fewer with-							0.0 (0.0-35.9)		
Mahajan, et al. <sup>12</sup>								0.0 (0.0-14.5)		
Ramm, et al. <sup>13</sup>	in house patients only							1.4 (0.0-7.8)		
Wan, et al. <sup>14</sup>								1.6 (0.0-8.7)		
Theben, et al. <sup>15</sup>	•But many elderly natients included							1.3 (0.2-4.6)		
Ouldamer, et al. <sup>16</sup>	- Dut many charty patients metaded							0.0 (0.0-1.7)		
Durand-Réville, et al. <sup>17</sup> €	1996	1989-1994	Hysterectomy	Leiomyoma(s)	France	660	6	9.1 (3.3-19.7)	6	9.1 (3.3-19.7)
Park, et al. <sup>18</sup> ¥	2012	n/a	Not specified	Leiomyoma(s)	South Korea	22825	49	2.2 (1.6-2.8)	49	2.2 (1.6-2.8)

\* Myomectomy was included in search terms. Cases of hysterectomy may have been included, but were not specified in search terms in the Methods section of the article.

€ Article in French. Primary analysis included only English language articles

¥ This was a reply to a reply of an article. Insufficient information was provided in the reply to include in the primary analysis.



# **Current Summary of Literature**

# 2014 Meta-analysis1134 studies30,193 women

- Prospective: 1 in 8,333 women (0.01%)
- Retrospective: 1 in 1,794 women (0.06%)
- Combined: 1 in 1,961 women (0.05%)



# Incidence of Occult Uterine Cancers Detected After Morcellation: Age Matters

- Incidence uterine malignancy 0.3%
- Significant correlation between occult cancer incidence and advancing age

#### WHAT'S THE RISK BY AGE?

Hidden uterine cancers found after morcellation. *Approximate cases, out of 10,000 U.S. women.* 



Source: Journal of the American Medical Association, study published July 2014.





# **Hopkins Morcellation Data**

- 2005-2014—All *in-house* hysterectomies and myomectomies performed for benign indications
- Two occult cancers detected in a cohort of 3081 pts over a 10-year period (0.06% risk of malignancy in overall cohort; 0.11% in MIS cohort)
  - 1 microscopic, early-stage cervical cancer in 2013
  - 1 myxoid leiomyosarcoma in 2009
- Morcellation cohort
  - No occult cancers identified in women <50 years (n=401)</li>
  - 2 occult cancers in 23 patients >50 years

Ricci et al, SGO, 2015



# Common Themes Among LMS and ESS Cases at Johns Hopkins (Unpublished)

- Clinical and consult (referral) service cases (n=47 cases in 2010-2014)
- 95% are post menopausal
  - 30% morcellated
- 50% underwent supracervical hysterectomy and did not undergo preoperative biopsy or MRI/CT



# Summary Regarding Incidence of Occult Uterine Cancer During Fibroid Surgery

- It is simply <u>NOT</u> true that 1:350 reproductive aged women undergoing presumed benign fibroid surgery will have a uterine sarcoma diagnosed
- Age, clinical presentation and appropriate patient selection matter!!!
- Caution with morcellation in elderly, postmenopausal women or those with a higher risk of uterine cancer

# **Unanswered Questions**

- Is the prognosis of women with LMS undergoing morcellation, supracervical hysterectomy or myomectomy worse than those with "intact" LMS?
- Does open myomectomy impact prognosis in cases of sarcoma?
- How is surgical stage affected by morcellation?



# Recurrence Free Survival: George Report



George et al, Cancer, 2014

### Does Morcellation, Myomectomy or Supracervical Hysterectomy Worsen Outcomes for LMS?

Study	Year	Study Period	Open vs Lsc Hysteroscopic	Endpoint	Outcome	
Perri et al	2009	1969-2005	21/16	"Tumor injury"	Increased recurrence /Decreased survival	
Park et al	2011	1989-2010	31/25	Morcellation of any kind	2.59 recur 3.07 death	
George et al	2014	2007-2012	39/19	Intraperiton. morcellation	3.18 recur increased	
Perri et al, Int J Gyn Canc, 2009						
Park et al Gyne	col Onco	1 2011			JOHNS HOPKINS	

George at al, Cancer Oct 2014

# **Prognosis for Intact LMS**

- Leiomyosarcoma; notoriously poor prognosis
- High recurrence rate when diagnosed at an early stage (69%)<sup>1</sup>
- 65% dead at 5 years<sup>1</sup>
- Morcellation: Are we affecting prognosis?<sup>2</sup>

#### Progression Free Survival of uterineconfined LMS by adjuvant therapy







# Does Type of Tissue Extraction Matter?

- Tissue disruption DOES increase the risk of dissemination
- Open power morcellation does not appear to increase risks of dissemination any more so than open myomectomy, hysteroscopic myomectomy or scalpel-based abdominal or vaginal morcellation.
- BOTTOM LINE: Risks of disseminated occult cancer <u>exceptionally</u> small in appropriate morcellation candidates. But must not falsely reassure ourselves that open scalpel-based morcellation is safer.

Perri et al, 2005, Park et al Gynecol Oncol, 2011



# **Being Intellectually Honest...**



- Jury out on whether morcellation truly worsens survival for LMS or ESS
  - Retrospective data with major flaws
- Empirically, we must acknowledge the (strong) possibility that "cut through" procedures of the uterus may worsen outcome due to disruption of margins and residual disease status
- GYN surgical community must take the lead to reduce the risks associated with occult cancer morcellation



# Dissemination of Benign Disease After Morcellation

#### It happens!

- Three referred cases to Hopkins in 2012-2013 mimicking cancer (elevated tumor markers and carcinomatosis) requiring debulking surgery and multiorgan resection
- Endometriosis & adenomyomas
- Tissue extraction techniques
  critical



Ramos A, Fader AN, Long KC, Obstet Gynecol, In press



# The Remaining Dilemmas: How Do We Stage a Morcellated Cancer?

- Traditional staging systems for uterine sarcomas are not ideal
- Until we have better data suggesting definitively worse survival outcomes w/ morcellation, stage will not be changed
- Perhaps a Stage IC addition in the future...

	Stage definition
Leiomyosarco	omas and endometrial stromal sarcomas*
1	Tumor limited to uterus
IA	Less than or equal to 5 cm
IB	More than 5 cm
П	Tumor extends beyond the uterus, within the pelvis
IIA	Adnexal involvement
IIB	Involvement of other pelvic tissues
ш	Tumor invades abdominal tissues (not just protruding into the abdomen)
IIIA	One site
IIIB1	More than one site
IIIC	Metastasis to pelvic and/or para-aortic lymph nodes
IV	
IVA	Tumor invades bladder and/or rectum
IVB	Distant metastasis
Adenosarcon	nas
1	Tumor limited to uterus
IA	Tumor limited to endometrium and endocervix with no myometrial invasion
IB	Less than or equal to half myometrial invasion
IC	More than half myometrial invasion
Ш	Tumor extends beyond the uterus, within the pelvis
IIA	Adnexal involvement
IIB	Tumor extends to extrauterine pelvic tissue
III	Tumor invades abdominal tissues (not just protruding into the abdomen)
IIIA	One site
IIIB	More than one site
IIIC	Metastasis to pelvic andor para-aortic lymph nodes
IV	
IVA	Tumor invades bladder andor rectum
IVB	Distant metastasis
Carcinosarco	mas

Carcinosarcomas should be staged as carcinoma of the endometrium

\*Note: Simultaneous endometrial stromal sarcomas of the uterine corpus and ovary'pelvis in association with ovarian/pelvic endometriosisshould be classified as independent primary tumors

# HOW DOES ONE MANAGE A CASE OF MORCELLATED ESS OR LMS?



### Management of Morcellated LMS and ESS

 Hopkins Protocol: Use of National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines



National Comprehensive Cancer Network<sup>®</sup>

 Surgery is the only potentially curative treatment for LMS and ESS of any anatomic site



# **CAN MOST CASES OF OCCULT UTERINE CANCER BE AVOIDED WITH CAREFUL** PREOPERATIVE **ASSESSMENT AND APPROPRIATE PATIENT SELECTION?**



# **Detecting Sarcomas Preoperatively**

- **True or false:** A uterine sarcoma cannot be detected by endometrial biopsy.
- Among 72 women with sarcomas, preop sampling suggested an invasive tumor in 86% and predicted the correct histologic diagnosis in 64%.
- Rate of detection of an invasive cancer by preoperative sampling was not statistically different among sarcomas and epithelial tumors (86% vs. 84%, p=0.76).
- Preoperative sampling was significantly less reliable in predicting the correct histology for uterine sarcomas (64% vs. 81%, p<0.0001).</li>

Bansal et al, Gynecol Oncol, 2008



# **MRI assessment of LMS**

- Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC)
  - High or intermediate signal intensity on DWI and an ADC <1.1 – associated with an increased risk of LMS

Sato et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014;210(4):368.e1-8.



# Adding Serum LDH to DWI

 Increased LDH and high intensity DWI showed a specificity, accuracy and PPV of 99.2%, 99.3%, and 90.9% respectively of predicting LMS



Goto A et al. Int J Gynecol Cancer, 2002. 12(4):354-361.

# PREoperative Sarcoma Score (PRESS)

Points	0	1	2	•
Age	<49		≥49	
Serum LDH	<279		≥279	
Cytologic Findings	Negative		Positive	•
MRI Findings	Negative	Positive		



 PPV, NPV, sensitivity and specificity were 63.2%, 93.2%, 0.800 and 0.854, respectively.



# **An Impossible Standard**

- The expectation that the only way power morcellation will survive is to ensure no women will be at risk for a disseminated occult cancer is untenable
  - A Lose:Lose situation for surgeons and their patients
- For the FDA or other regulatory agencies to eliminate or strongly curtail power morcellation in its current form would hurt far more women than it will help



# Can We Do Better? ABSOLUTELY YES!!!!

- Most cases of occult cancer can be avoided with meticulous, thoughtful preoperative planning and patient risk stratification
- Cannot think in absolutes (ie, ban ALL morcellation or use MIS techniques in ALL women)
- Individualized, patient-centered care plans with rigorous informed consent

#### WHAT'S THE RISK BY AGE?

Hidden uterine cancers found after morcellation. *Approximate cases. out of 10,000 U.S. women.* 



Source: Journal of the American Medical Association, study published July 2014.



# **Hopkins Morcellation Protocol**

- Preoperative peer-review
- Imaging (MRI for fibroids)
- Endometrial evaluation
- Exclusion criteria for morcellation
  - Caution in Postmenopausal Women
  - History of tamoxifen use
  - Pelvic radiation
  - BRCA mutation carrier status
  - Hereditary cancer syndromes (hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) hereditary leiomyomatosis and renal cell carcinoma (HLRCC) syndrome or hereditary childhood retinoblastoma)
- Enhanced surgical consent
- High volume surgeons only
- Contained morcellation only
  <sup>1</sup>Cohen et al. Obstet Gynecol 2014;124:491–7





# **Consent, Consent, Consent!**

 <u>ALL</u> procedures, medications, interventions in medicine carry a risk:benefit ratio

 Must individualize those risks and benefits based on patient age, demographic factors, clinical presentation and patient goals



# Avoid Being Too Reactionary... But Keep Patient's Informed and Safe

- Historically, pendulum has swung wildly when issues like the morcellation debate arise
- WHI Study and Impact on HRT administration
  - Stroke and cardiovascular disease are rare and affect elderly, comorbid women
  - HRT benefits thousands of women <u>who are</u> <u>appropriate candidates for this therapy</u>



# **Methods of Contained Morcellation**

- Vaginal
- Minilaparotomy
- Power morcellation in a bag



# **Vaginal Morcellation**





# **Power Morcellation in a Bag**





## **Minilaparotomy**





## **Umbilical Closure**





# Unanswered Questions and Future Directions

- Will morcellation in a bag or other containment system prevent dissemination of occult uterine cancers?<sup>1</sup>
- How can we improve the preoperative detection of occult sarcoma?
- New instrumentation
  - FDA approved bags
- How do we educate and credential providers?





# Conclusions

- Broad applications of MIS—including use of uterine morcellation—have significantly improved outcomes for women with benign and cancerous GYN conditions
- Occult uterine cancers are RARE in reproductiveaged women and occur FAR more commonly in postmenopausal/elderly women
- The outcome of women with either intact or morcellated sarcoma are poor



# Conclusions

- Contained morcellation should be considered and studied further
- Reducing or eliminating the use of open morcellation technique or supracervical hyst in symptomatic high-risk or elderly women
  - Exceptions: women undergoing prolapse surgery
- Meticulous preoperative planning



# **QUESTIONS?**

