



TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY
HEALTH SCIENCES CENTER™
EL PASO

Paul L. Foster School of Medicine

Course Syllabus:

College Colloquium (CC)

PMAS 5101 (College Colloquium I -- fall semester)

PMAS 5112 (College Colloquium II -- spring semester)

Academic Year 2026 - 2027

Table of Contents

Contact Information	3
Course Description.....	4
Overview	4
Learning Communities (“Colleges”).....	4
Competencies and Learning Objectives	5
PLFSOM Program Goals and Objectives	5
College Colloquium courses I and II course objectives.....	8
Instructional Methods.....	8
Assignments and Assessments	9
Grading	9
Written Assignments.....	9
Self-Directed Learning Assignments	10
Communication Learning Assessment (CLA).....	13
Professionalism Assessment	14
Course Expectations and Policies	14
Overview	14
Attendance and Participation.....	14
Professionalism, Plagiarism, and Copyright Policies	15
Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Assisted Tools	15
Electronic Devices	16
Student Governance.....	16
Schedule and Important Dates	16
Summary of session dates and topics	16
Student Resources and Support Services.....	17
Required Text.....	17
Office of Accessibility Services (OAS).....	17
Statement of Accommodation for Pregnant and Parenting Students	18
Reporting Student Mistreatment.....	18
Faculty Roster	19

Contact Information

Course Directors:

Brittany Shoemake, M.D.
Office: MEB 2250B
Phone: 915-215-6705
Brittany.Shoemake@ttuhsc.edu

Dale Quest, Ph.D.
Office: MEB 2240C
Phone: 915-215-4346
Dale.Quest@ttuhsc.edu

Program Coordinator:

Lopaka Ahia
Office: MEB 2200
Phone: 915-215-6566
lahia@ttuhsc.edu

Course Hours:

Fridays
(10:00 AM – 11: 50 AM)

Course Description

Overview

College Colloquium I and II consist of weekly 2-hour, discussion-based sessions held on Friday mornings during Year 1 of the medical curriculum. Sessions explore topics central to professional identity formation and physician development, including ethics, controversies in medicine, critical thinking, communication, humanism, empathy, wellness, life-long learning, bias, leadership, professionalism, and social justice.

In addition to active participation in class discussions, students complete reflective writing assignments, ethical analyses, and self-directed learning assignments, constructive dialogue training, and communication assessments. The course is graded as Pass / Fail. Successful course fulfillment is based on satisfactory completion of assignments, participation and engagement in discussions, and demonstration of professionalism throughout the course. Professionalism evaluations are completed at the end of each semester.

Learning Communities (“Colleges”)

The Office of Admissions assigns students to learning communities known as “Colleges” with the goal of creating groups that reflect diverse educational, demographic, cultural, and geographic backgrounds. Students remain in their assigned College throughout the four-year M.D. program.

The College Colloquium Course is designed to encourage students to critically examine their own perspectives while learning from peers with different experiences, beliefs, and viewpoints. Students are expected to engage in respectful and constructive dialogue, particularly when discussing differing opinions.

This course aims to support students in becoming compassionate, accountable physicians who communicate effectively, apply principles of medical ethics, and practice with professionalism and personal integrity.

Competencies and Learning Objectives

PLFSOM Program Goals and Objectives

The Paul L. Foster School of Medicine education program goals and objectives are outcome-based statements that guide instruction and assessment as you develop the knowledge and abilities expected of a physician. All elements of the PLFSOM curriculum are derived from and contribute to the fulfillment of one or more of the medical education program's goals and objectives, which can be found at [PLFSOM PGOs](#). The College Colloquium Course is designed to teach and assess the following PLFSOM education program goals and objectives:

Practice-Based Learning and Improvement		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
PBL-3.1	Identify gaps in one's knowledge, skills, and/or attitudes, and perform learning activities to address them.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback
Interpersonal and Communication Skills		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
ICS-4.2	Communicate effectively with colleagues and other health care professionals.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • NBME Communication Learning Assessment (CLA)
ICS-4.3	Communicate with sensitivity, honesty, compassion and empathy.	• Participation facilitated discussion • NBME Communication Learning Assessment (CLA) • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback
Professionalism		
Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
PRO-5.1	Demonstrate sensitivity, compassion and respect for all people.	• Participation in facilitated discussion
PRO-5.2	Demonstrate knowledge of and appropriately apply ethical principles pertaining to patient privacy, autonomy and informed consent.	• Participation in facilitated discussion
PRO-5.3	Demonstrate accountability to patients and fellow members of the health care team.	• Participation in facilitated discussion

PRO-5.4	Demonstrate and apply knowledge of ethical principles pertaining to the provision or withholding of care.	• Participation in facilitated discussion
PRO-5.5	Demonstrate and apply knowledge of ethical principles pertaining to health care related business practices and health care administration, including compliance with relevant laws, policies, regulations and the avoidance of conflicts of interest.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback

PRO-5.6	Demonstrate honesty and integrity in all professional and academic interactions.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback
PRO-5.7	Meet professional and academic commitments and obligations.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback

Systems-Based Practice

Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
SBP-6.1	Describe the health system and its components, how the system is funded and how it affects individual and community health.	• Participation in facilitated discussion
SBP-6.3	Incorporate considerations of benefits, risks and costs in patient and/or population care.	• Participation in facilitated discussion

Interprofessional Collaboration

Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
IPC-7.1	Describe the roles and responsibilities of health care professionals.	• Participation in facilitated discussion
IPC-7.3	Participate in different team roles to establish, develop, and continuously enhance interprofessional teams to provide patient- and population-centered care that is safe, timely, efficient, effective, and equitable.	• Participation in facilitated discussion
IPC-7.4	Recognize and respond appropriately to circumstances involving conflict with peers, other health care professionals and team members.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • CDI Perspectives module participation and reflection

Personal and Professional Development

Educational Program Objectives		Outcome Measures
--------------------------------	--	------------------

PPD-8.1	Recognize when to take responsibility and when to seek assistance.	• Participation in facilitated discussion
PPD-8.2	Demonstrate healthy coping mechanisms in response to stress and professional responsibilities.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback
PPD-8.3	Demonstrate flexibility in adjusting to change and difficult situations.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • NBME Communication Learning Assessment (CLA) • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback
PPD-8.4	Utilize appropriate resources and coping mechanisms when confronted with uncertainty and ambiguous situations.	• Participation in facilitated discussion • Narrative assessment and faculty feedback

College Colloquium courses I and II course objectives

(Mapping to the PLFSOM PGOs as indicated in parentheses).

By completion of the College Colloquium I (MS1 fall semester) and College Colloquium II (MS1 spring semester) courses, students will:

- Participate with increasing effectiveness as members of their learning communities in facilitated discussions (ICS-4.2; ICS-4.3; PRO-5.1; PRO-5.7).
- Advance self-development and professional ethics through written self-reflections and written ethical analysis (PRO-5.1; PRO-5.2; PRO-5.3; PRO-5.4; PRO-5.5; PRO-5.6; PRO-5.7).
- Proceed to develop professional identity and a growing understanding of the physicians' role within systems of healthcare and interprofessional teams (PRO-5.3; PRO-5.5; PRO-5.7; IPC-7.1; IPC-7.3; IPC-7.4) (PRO-5.3; PRO-5.5; PRO-5.7; IPC-7.1; IPC-7.3; IPC-7.4).
- Develop acumen to debate and dialogue constructively through constructive dialogue perspective modules, and continue developing these skills throughout the College Colloquium courses while exploring topics related to medical ethics, professionalism, humanism, life-long self-directed learning, social justice, bias, controversies in medicine, critical thinking, interpersonal communication, interprofessional collaboration, physician wellness, and balancing personal life with the demands of a medical career (ICS-4.1; ICS-4.3; PPD-8.2; PPD-8.3; PPD-8.4).
- Increase skills, attitude and proficiency for self-directed and life-long learning through successful completion of independent learning plan assignments (PBL-3.1).

Instructional Methods

Short didactic presentations are used to introduce or reinforce key concepts and orient students to session activities. Sessions then transition to facilitated discussions designed to explore topics in depth, encourage multiple perspectives, and develop critical thinking and conceptual understanding. Instructional methods may also include video, film, art, music, poetry, and role play activities paired with discussion to enhance engagement and learning. Formative ethics and patient communication questions will be presented to the students throughout each class session.

Students also participate in Constructive Dialogue Institute (CDI) Perspectives modules focused on developing skills for constructive communication and navigating difficult conversations respectfully and effectively. In addition, students complete the NBME Communication Learning Assessment (CLA), a formative, skill-building assessment that uses simulated clinical scenarios and individualized feedback to strengthen empathy, communication skills, clinical judgment, adaptability, and confidence in patient conversations.

There are 8 short in-class written essays (4 in the fall semester, 4 in spring semester). Students are also required to complete one self-directed learning activity each semester.

Assignments and Assessments

Grading

Grading for all College Colloquium Courses is Pass / Fail. Assessment is based on satisfactory completion of all assignments, in-class participation, and overall professionalism evaluation in Colloquium. College Mentors will provide formative, narrative feedback to students regarding their individual assignments and professionalism assessments.

Written Assignments

Students are required to complete four in-class written essays during the fall semester and four during the spring semester. The essay prompt is designed to encourage reflection, critical thinking, and application of course concepts. Essays will be completed during class and written by hand without the use of electronic devices. Responses are expected to be about 200 words in length. The essay prompt is as follows:

In a single well-developed paragraph (~200 words), reflect on one important ethical issue, communication challenge, or professional insight from today's session. Clearly explain the ethical tension or complexity involved and support your reasoning with thoughtful reflection rather than simple opinion. Consider how this discussion affected your perspective, values, or approach to caring for patients. Conclude by explaining how this insight will influence your growth and future behavior as a physician.

Essays will be graded according to the rubric provided below and will include formative feedback to students. The Short Essay Rubric will score the written assignment in 3 domains (ethical insight, reflection and reasoning, and professional identity formation) for a total possible score of 0-6. The student must achieve a score of ≥ 4 to receive a passing grade.

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC FOR SHORT IN-CLASS ESSAYS

Category	2 – Strong	1 – Developing	0 – Limited
Ethical Insight	Clearly identifies a relevant ethical issue and demonstrates thoughtful understanding	Identifies an ethical issue but with limited depth or clarity	Minimal or no ethical issue identified; largely descriptive or off topic
Reflection & Reasoning	Demonstrates personal reflection, considers tensions/uncertainty, and provides reasoning	Some reflection present but mostly superficial or one-sided	Little to no reflection; primarily summary of session content
Professional Identity Formation	Clearly articulates how insight will influence future behavior and decision-making as a physician	Mentions future impact but in a vague or general way	No clear connection to personal or professional development

Students must complete all assigned essays to receive a passing grade in the course. Students who are absent from a session, whether excused or unexcused, are required to complete a make-up assignment of satisfactory quality by the deadline at the end of the semester. Students who fail to complete a required essay or make-up assignment will need to meet with their respective College Mentors to discuss remediation. Failure to submit assigned essays, complete required remediation for absences, or revise low scoring essays (graded 0-3) may result in referral to the Grading and Promotion Committee. (See Course Policies and Procedures)

Self-Directed Learning Assignments

Self-directed learning is an essential skill for physicians, who must continually acquire new knowledge and skills throughout their careers. The Liaison Committee on Medical Education defines self-directed learning as a stepwise process that occurs over a unified sequence:

1. A medical student's self-assessment of their learning needs
2. Independent identification, analysis, and synthesis of relevant information
3. Appraisal of the quality and credibility of information sources
4. Feedback from faculty on learners gaining proficiency for self-directed learning

Students are required to complete two self-directed learning activities each semester. One activity is the longitudinal Independent Learning Plan (ILP) completed in College Colloquium. The second is an experience-based SDL activity that follows a clinical Community Health Experience (CHE) visit. Using the ILP template, students will identify a specific learning need, develop a learning objective aligned with PLFSOM Program Goals and Objectives, locate and evaluate credible sources of information, demonstrate evidence of learning, and reflect on their progress. Students will work with a Faculty Advisor who will review the ILP and provide formative feedback on the self-directed learning process.

INDEPENDENT LEARNING PLAN (ILP) TEMPLATE

NAME:

DATE:

COLLEGE:

FACULTY COACH:

1. Self-Assessment of Your Learning Needs

- What is the specific knowledge gap you need to improve?
- What event led you to notice this gap?
- Why is this gap important to address now?
- Draft One Learning Objective and explicitly align it with the PLFSOM program goals and objectives (PGOs).

2. Independent Identification, Analysis, & Synthesis of Relevant Information

- Find appropriate resources such as those listed below. Base your learning on credible, current, and authoritative scientific evidence.
 - **Peer-reviewed medical databases** (e.g., PubMed, MEDLINE, Cochrane Library)
 - **High-quality medical journals** (e.g., New England Journal of Medicine, Journal of the American Medical Association, The Lancet, Circulation)
 - **Evidence-based clinical decision support tools** (UpToDate, DynaMed, ClinicalKey)
 - **Specialty society guidelines** (e.g., American College of Cardiology, American Diabetes Association, American College of Physicians Clinical Guidelines)
- Please DO NOT use: Step-prep resources (Anki, UWorld, Sketchy, Boards & Beyond, AMBOSS) as primary sources.

3. Appraisal of the Credibility of Information Sources and Demonstration of Learning

- Explain *why* each source you used is credible, reliable, and appropriate for your learning objective.
 - **Credibility:** Is the sources peer-reviewed? Is it a trusted medical journal, guideline, or evidence-based tool?
 - **Authority:** Are the authors experts (e.g., clinicians, researchers, specialty societies)?

- **Timeliness:** Is the information current and up-to-date?
- **Relevance:** How does this source directly support your learning objective?

Source	Credibility	Authority	Timeliness	Relevance
#1				
#2				
#3				

b. Demonstration of learning

In 1-3 slides, summarize the answer to your identified learning gap. Your presentation should include:

- Learning Objective
- Key Concepts learned
- Clinical relevance to the case
- Resources used

c. Learning Reflection

In 2-3 sentences, answer:

- What was the most important thing you learned?
- What remains uncertain or require further study?
- How will this learning influence your future clinical reasoning or study approach?

4. Feedback from Faculty on Your Proficiency for Self-Directed Learning

- Your Faculty Coach will review your ILP and provide written feedback on your self-directed learning process.
- They will comment on how well you identified your learning need, set your objective, chose and evaluated credible resources, and demonstrated what you learned.

Note: Please do not forget to include **step 3c: Learning Reflection** in your Demonstration of Learning Slides from step 3b.

ILPs are evaluated using the Independent Learning Plan Rubric, which assesses students' ability to identify learning needs, develop appropriate learning strategies, evaluate the credibility of information sources, incorporate faculty feedback, and reflect on their learning.

INDEPENDENT LEARNING PLAN (ILP) RUBRIC

	Acceptable	Needs Improvement
Self-Assessment of Learning Needs	Has written a detailed reflective summary	Has not written a detailed self-assessment summary
	Self-identifies one specific area for focused improvement that aligns with PLFSOM program goals and objectives	The learning objective is vague, broad, or not clearly connected to any specific event, performance indicator, or feedback
	Clearly states why addressing this gap now is important to their development	Does not explain why the gap is important to address now, or the rationale is unclear or superficial
Independent Identification, Analysis, and Synthesis of Relevant Information	Identified appropriate strategies to achieve their objective	Learning strategy is unclear or misaligned with objective
	Sources come from peer-reviewed journals, evidence-based databases, or recognized specialty guidelines	Sources are inappropriate (non-peer-reviewed, outdated, Step-prep materials, or not relevant to the objective) or their credibility is misjudged.
Appraisal of the Credibility of Information Sources	Clearly explains why each source is credible, authoritative, current, and directly relevant to the learning objective.	Gives little or no rationale for why the sources are credible, or the appraisal is vague, incorrect, or incomplete.
	The appraisal shows appropriate judgment (e.g., distinguishing high-quality medical evidence from non-scholarly or outdated sources).	The appraisal does not address key elements (credibility, authority, timeliness, or relevance) or shows weak understanding of how to evaluate medical information.
Feedback from Faculty	The student completed the required faculty feedback step (meeting or written review) and incorporated the feedback into their ILP or final submission as directed.	The student did not complete the required faculty feedback step, or submitted work that was incomplete, unclear, or insufficient for meaningful faculty evaluation.
	The student's reflection clearly describes what was learned, what remains uncertain, and how learning will be reinforced.	The student did not respond to, acknowledge, or integrate faculty feedback when revisions were requested.
Narrative Feedback		

Communication Learning Assessment (CLA)

The NBME Communication Learning Assessment (CLA) is a formative learning activity designed to help students develop patient-centered communication skills through simulated clinical encounters, self-reflection, and individualized feedback. The CLA complements the College Colloquium curriculum by reinforcing competencies such as empathy, professionalism, responding to emotion, shared decision-making, and effective patient communication.

Students are required to complete all 10 assigned CLA cases independently during the fall semester. Cases are completed through the NBME platform and include simulated patient scenarios, verbal response recordings, self-assessment activities, and opportunities for reflection and skill improvement. Completion of all assigned cases is required prior to the scheduled CLA debrief session in the spring semester on February 12, 2027. During the debrief session, students will participate in facilitated discussion and complete an in-class reflection

activity. CLA completion is assessed on a completion basis and is not numerically graded.

Professionalism Assessment

Professionalism is an important component of College Colloquium courses and is assessed throughout the academic year. A statement describing professionalism development will be placed in each student's e-portfolio at the end of the academic year. At the end of each semester, College Mentors will complete a professionalism assessment (see rubric below) and provide a narrative evaluation that will be included in each student's e-portfolio. The narrative assessment may include strengths, areas for growth, and recommendations for continued professional development. Each domain listed on the rubric is evaluated as either Met or Needs Improvement. Students receiving a rating of Needs Improvement may be required to meet with their College Mentor to develop a remediation plan and address identified concerns.

PROFESSIONALISM ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

	Needs Improvement	Met
Respect for People		
Can Use Ethical Principles		
Demonstrates Empathy/Compassion		
Honesty in Activities		
Meets Obligations		
Responsive to Feedback		
Able to Reflect		
Engagement in Colloquium		
Comments:		

Course Expectations and Policies

Overview

Detailed information regarding institutional and school-level grading procedures and transcript notations can be found in the TTUHSC-EP '[Grading Procedures and Academic Regulations' \(HSCEP OP 59.05\) policy](#) and PLFSOM '[Grading, Promotion, and Academic Standing' \(GPAS\) policy](#). PLFSOM policy on Grade Changes and transcript notations (subsidiary to HSCEP OP 59.05) can be found on the website at: https://ttuhscep.edu/som/ome/CEPC/documents/secure/Grade_Changes_and_Transcript_Notations_Clean_Version_for_Web.pdf

Attendance and Participation

Students are responsible for activities and preparation within their individual Colleges. All Colleges will complete the sessions and the corresponding objectives listed for each, but activities and schedules may vary between Colleges.

As outlined in the PLFSOM [‘Pre-clerkship phase attendance policy’](#), failure to meet the school’s overall expectations for attendance and participation can lead to a number of consequences including failure of a course or referral to the GPC for professionalism concerns. Attendance and participation in College Colloquium are required. Attendance will be taken at each session by ‘badging’ in with a course coordinator prior to the session. Tardiness beyond 10 minutes will be considered an absence. An absence (excused or unexcused) will be remediated by writing an essay on the topic covered in the missed session (minimum 1000-1500 words). The student needs to submit a make-up assignment of adequate quality before the end of the semester. Essays will be graded according to the same essay rubric provided above and will include formative feedback to students. The Short Essay Rubric will score the written assignment in 3 domains (ethical insight, reflection and reasoning, and professional identity formation) for a total possible score of 0-6. The student must achieve a score of ≥ 4 to receive a passing grade. Failure to meet this requirement will result in a failing grade for the course. Absence requests must be made through the [PLFSOM absence management system](#).

Professionalism, Plagiarism, and Copyright Policies

In College Colloquium, as with all other courses at the Paul L. Foster School of Medicine, students will adhere to the Student Honor Code as well as the plagiarism and copyright policies described in the Student Handbook or be subject to disciplinary action.

Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Assisted Tools

TTUHSC EP may utilize institution-approved artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted tools to support teaching, learning, and grading processes. AI tools are used to enhance efficiency and consistency; however, they do not replace faculty expertise, professional judgment, or final decision-making authority. Examples of permitted AI-supported functions may include, but are not limited to assignment completion support where explicitly allowed, verification of submission completeness, preliminary rubric-aligned feedback, similarity checks, scoring assistance, and workflow efficiency improvements. Faculty are responsible for reviewing and verifying AI-generated outputs prior to assigning grades or providing final feedback.

Student use of AI tools in College Colloquium is permitted only as specifically described in course assignments. AI tools may be used to assist with identifying potential Independent Learning Plan (ILP) topics, refining learning objectives, and locating relevant literature or educational resources. Students remain responsible for evaluating the quality, credibility, and appropriateness of all information used in their work.

The use of AI-generated content for in-class essays, reflections, ethical analyses, or other assignments intended to assess students' own reasoning, reflection, or written communication is prohibited. All submitted work must represent the student's original thinking and writing unless otherwise authorized by course faculty. Failure to follow course AI guidelines may constitute academic misconduct.

Faculty retain full responsibility for final evaluation of student performance. Students may request clarification regarding grading processes or feedback and may appeal decisions

through established institutional procedures.

Electronic Devices

Use of electronic devices (e.g., laptops and cell phones) or non-colloquium study materials is not permitted during sessions unless specifically allowed by the College Mentors.

Student Governance

Surveys, discussions or announcements, especially those related to the Student Curriculum Committee or Student Government, etc. are permitted at the beginning of colloquium sessions; however, these should be limited to the first 20 minutes of the session.

Schedule and Important Dates

A detailed schedule of College Colloquium sessions, with the topic to be covered for each session, along with due dates for written assignments will be distributed to the students directly (by email) and also available of the College Colloquium web page prior to the beginning of each semester.

Summary of session dates and topics

College Colloquium I – Fall Semester 2026		
Date	Session and Topic Title	Assignments
7/31/2026	1.1 – Introduction to Self-Directed Learning	
8/7/2026	1.2 – Introduction Colloquium Course	
8/14/2026	1.3 – Medicine on the Border & CDI Perspective Introduction	
8/21/2026	1.4 – The Vaccine Issue	-Short In-Class Essay
8/28/2026	1.5 – Emerging Topics (Book Club 1)	
9/4/2026	No Colloquium – IHD Summative Exam	
9/11/2026	1.6 – The Antibiotic Issue	-CDI Perspectives P2P #1 (modules 1 & 2) -ILP assignment
9/18/2026	1.7 – Decision-Making Heuristics	-CDI Perspectives P2P #2 (modules 3 & 4) -Fall Initial SDL Independent Learning Plan Due
9/25/2026	1.8 – Pandemics	-CDI Perspectives P2P #3 (modules 5 & 6)
10/2/2026	1.9 – Learning in Medical School: Panel Discussion with Peer Mentors	-Short In-Class Essay -Fall Final SDL Independent Learning Plan and Product Due
10/9/2026	1.10 – Emerging Topics (Book Club 2)	
10/16/2026	No Colloquium – GIS Summative Exam	
10/23/2026	1.11 – AI in Medicine & Onboard CLA	-Short In-Class Essay
10/30/2026	1.12 – Economics of Healthcare	
11/6/2026	1.13 – Preparing for Summer Opportunities: Facilitated by Peer Mentors	
11/13/2026	1.14 – Diagnostic Imaging: A Two-Edged Sword	

11/20/2026	1.15 – The Patient’s Experience of Chronic Disease and Disability	
11/27/2026	No Colloquium – Thanksgiving Break	
12/4/2026	1.16 – Ethics of Pain Management	-Short In-Class Essay
12/11/2026	1.17 – Gratitude & Holiday Party	
12/18/2026	No Colloquium – IMN Summative Exam on 12/16	
12/25/2026	No Colloquium -- Winter Break	
College Colloquium II – Spring Semester 2027		
Date	Session and Topic Title	Assignments
1/8/2027	2.1 – Honesty and Confidentiality	
1/15/2027	2.2 – Ethical Issues in Genetic Screening of Populations	
1/22/2027	2.3 – The Risk Benefit Ratio of Cancer Therapy	
1/29/2027	2.4 – Emerging Topics (Book Club 3)	
2/5/2027	No Colloquium – HEM Summative on 2/4	
2/12/2027	2.5 – Communication Learning Assessment (CLA) Debrief	-Short In-Class Essay -Completed Communication Learning Assessment (CLA) Cases
2/19/2027	2.6 – Journal Club	-Spring Initial SDL Independent Learning Plan Due
2/26/2027	2.7 – The Ethics of Life Sustaining Interventions	-Short In-Class Essay
3/5/2027	2.8 – Bridging the Divide: Trust-Building and Patient Communication	-Spring Final SDL Independent Learning Plan and Product Due
3/12/2027	2.9 – Empathy and Compassion	
3/19/2027	2.10 – Planetary Health	
3/26/2027	2.11 – Emerging Topics (Book Club 4)	
4/2/2027	No Colloquium	
4/9/2027	2.12 – Dialysis, Transplantation, and Access to Care	-Short In-Class Essay
4/16/2027	2.13 – Interprofessional Ethical Case Discussion	
4/23/2027	2.14 – Research Ethics	
4/30/2027	2.15 – Alternative and Complementary Medicine	Short In-Class Essay

Student Resources and Support Services

Required Text

There is no required text for the College Colloquium Courses, though students are encouraged to explore Colloquium topics individually.

Office of Accessibility Services (OAS)

TTUHSC EP is committed to providing equal access to learning opportunities to students with documented disabilities. To ensure access to this course, and your program, please contact the Office of Accessibility Services (OAS), by calling 915-215-4398, to engage in a confidential conversation about the process for requesting accommodations in the classroom and clinical

setting. Accommodations are not provided retroactively, so students are encouraged to register with OAS as soon as possible. More information can be found on the OAS website:

<https://elpaso.ttuhsc.edu/student-services/accessibility/>

Statement of Accommodation for Pregnant and Parenting Students

To support the academic success of pregnant and parenting students and students with pregnancy related conditions, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center El Paso offers reasonable modifications based on the student's particular needs. Any student who is pregnant or parenting a child up to age 18 or has conditions related to pregnancy are encouraged to communicate their needs with their faculty and/or program for academic support. Students may also contact Norma Fuentes, the Manager of Accessibility and Student Advocacy, to discuss support options. She will work with the institution's designated Pregnancy and Parenting Liaison to ensure equal access to the University's education program or activity. Please email norma.fuentes@ttuhsc.edu or call 915.215.4398. Students may also submit a [Pregnancy & Parenting Support form](#) to request assistance.

For more information, please refer to [Texas Tech University System Regulation 07.15 – Pregnancy and Parental Status](#).

Reporting Student Mistreatment

TTUHSC El Paso strives for a positive and supportive learning environment. If at any time you experience any mistreatment by faculty, staff or other students, please report it directly to Course Director(s) or use the QR code to submit a report.



Faculty Roster

Agave (Red) College - MEB 2250

Curt Pfarr, Ph.D.

915-215-4344

curt.pfarr@ttuhsc.edu

Brittany Shoemake, M.D.

915-215-6705

brittany.shoemake@ttuhsc.edu

Chaparral (Blue) College - MEB 2240

Angelica Padilla, M.D.

915-215-5778

drangelica.padilla@ttuhsc.edu

Dale Quest, Ph.D.

915-215-4346

dale.quest@ttuhsc.edu

Desert Sage (Purple) College – MEB 2140

Biff Palmer, M.D.

915-215-4779

bipalmer@ttuhsc.edu

Jessica Chacon, Ph.D.

915-215-6116

Jessica.chacon@ttuhsc.edu

Ocotillo (Green) College - MEB 2230

Namrata Singh, M.D.

915-215-5726

namrata.singh@ttuhsc.edu

Helena Spartz, M.D.

915-215-4360

hespartz@ttuhsc.edu

Prickly Pear (Gold) College - MEB 2220

Mariela Lane, M.D.

915-215-4126

marielan@ttuhsc.edu

Tamer Fandy, Ph.D.

915-215-6677

Tamer.fandy@ttuhsc.edu