Karinn Chambers, MD FACS Assistant Professor General Surgery Medical Director TTP EP BCC Neoplastic Diseases: Update for Practitioners 06/14/2019

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT OF THE AXILLA IN BREAST CANCER: HOW AND WHEN CAN INTRA-OPERATIVE EVALUATION HELP?

#### OBJECTIVES

- Discuss options for surgical management of the axilla in breast cancer
- Discuss current debates in the management of the axilla in breast cancer
- Discuss current surgical data in the management of the axilla in breast cancer
- Discuss how intra-operative axillary lymph node assessment can assist surgical planning
- Discuss current hot topics in breast cancer treatment

#### CURRENT SURGICAL OPTIONS FOR AXILLARY MANAGEMENT IN BREAST CANCER

- Sentinel lymph node biopsy
- Axillary dissection

## SENTINEL LYMPH NODE BIOPSY

- Our Performed to stage the axilla
  - Can help determine need for chemotherapy
- Performed through axillary incision if patient is having a lumpectomy
- Requires the injection of dual tracers
  - Lymphazurian blue/methylene blue
- On average 2-5 LN removed
- Risk of lymphadema 5-10%

## **AXILLARY DISSECTION**

- Removal of all level I and II LN in the axilla
- Increased risk of lymphedema (30%)
- Increased risk of nerve injury
  - Thoracodorsal nerve
    - Weakness of the shoulder girdle
  - ◊ Long thoracic nerve
    - Winged scapula
  - Intercostobracial nerve
    - Medial arm numbness
  - ◊ Used for local control of disease

# THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SENTINEL LYMPH NODE BIOPSY

#### ◊ 1999: NSABP B-32

- Analysis of the SLN biopsy in regards to survival and local control when compared to axillary dissection
- Assess the clinical significance/survival when occult LN Mets are diagnosed on SLN biopsy

## NSABP B-32

\$ 5611 woman randomized to SLN biopsy followed by axillary dissection vs SLN biopsy alone.
\$ SLN biopsy successful in 5379 pts (97.2%)
\$ Data analyzed for 5536 pts
• Accuracy of 97.1%
• False (-) rate of 9.8 %

## ACOSOG Z0011

- May 1999-December 2004
- Voman with cT1-T2 tumors
- All underwent partial mastectomy followed by adjuvant RT
  - ◊ No clinical lymphadenopathy

  - A Randomized to Ax Diss versus no further treatment
     A reatment
     A

## ACOSOG Z0011 RESULTS

♦ No difference in OS or DFS

◊ 5 yr DFS 82.2% versus 83.9%

◊ 5 yr LRR 3.1% vs 1.6%

◊ Axillary nodal RR 0.9%

#### CAVEATS TO ACOSOG Z0011

Majority of breast cancers studied were low grade
 Majority of breast cancers studied ER (+)

Debate as to radiation fields used during adjuvant treatment

## ACOSOG Z1071

- ♦ Women with cT0-T4, N1-N2, M0 breast cancer
- All women received new-adjuvant chemotherapy
- Patients underwent SLN biopsy and Ax Diss at time of surgical intervention
  - Oual tracers utilized
    - Blue dye
    - Radiolabeled colloid

## ACOSOG Z1071 RESULTS

- ◊ 757 patients, 649 enrolled, 525 eligible
- After completion of neoadjuvant chemo
  - Olinical exam (-) in 582 patients, 83%
  - ◊ Palpable adenopathy in 84 pts, 12%

  - ♦ FNR 12.6% overall
    - 39 pts SLN (-) but (+) on Ax Diss

◊ FNR 10.8% when dual tracers used and at least 3 LN identified

#### **INTRA-OP SLN EVALUATION**

◊ Frozen Section

Sensitivity and sensitivity about 50%

Intra-Operative touch prep cytology

♦ Sensitivity around 50% for ITC's.

Sensitivity between 60-70% for Macrometastasis.

Does ability to detect ITCs/micromets affect clinical practice?

<u>Hum Pathol.</u> 2014 Dec;45(12):2497-501. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2014.09.004. Epub 2014 Oct 2.

 Breast cancer detection in axillary sentinel lymph nodes: the impact of the method of pathologic examination.

<u>Calhoun BC<sup>1</sup></u>, <u>Chambers K<sup>2</sup></u>, <u>Flippo-Morton T<sup>2</sup></u>, <u>Livasy CA<sup>1</sup></u>, <u>Armstrong EJ 3rd<sup>2</sup></u>, <u>Symanowski JT<sup>2</sup></u>, <u>Sarantou T<sup>2</sup></u>, <u>Greene FL<sup>2</sup></u>, <u>White RL Jr<sup>3</sup></u>.

#### WHEN DO I USE INTRA-OP LN EVALUATION FOR BREAST CANCER AXILLARY STAGING?

- ◊ Using the protocols delineated in ACOSOG Z1071
  - ◊ When a LN is identified as (+) and patient undergoes neo-adjuvant chemo for breast Ca
  - ◊ When there is a good clinical and radiographic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy
  - ◊ When I can use two tracers, indentify 3 LN, and all those LN are (-) intra-op via frozen of intra-operative touch prep
    - All patient are consented for a possible Ax Diss should these criteria not be met

## OTHER RESEARCH QUESTIONS/TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION.

- Margin evaluation with intra-operative technologies?
- Surveillance with low grade DCIS?
- Do patients with a complete pathological response need surgery at all?
- Can we forgo Ax diss in favor of radiation in patients with (+) LN on SLN Bx?
- Neo-adjuvant endocrine therapy?
- Do we need to excise benign fibroepithelial lesions to rule out phyllodes tumors of the breast?
- High risk lesions/benign breast disease that don't need surgery?

## REFERENCES

- 1. Silverstein MJ, Lagios MD, Recht A, et al. <u>Image detected breast cancer: state of the art diagnosis and</u>. J Am Coll Surg. 2005; 201:586-597.
- 2. Linebarger JH, Landercasper J, Ellis RL, et al. <u>Core needle biopsy rate for new cancer diagnosis in an interdisciplinary breast center: evaluation of quality of care</u> 2007-2008. Ann Surg. 2012;255:38-43.
- 3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. <u>Use of minimally invasive biopsy techniques for diagnostic breast</u> <u>evaluation. NCCN Guidelines for Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis</u>. Version 2. 2013. Available at <u>http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\_gls/f\_guidelines.asp#breast\_screening</u>.
- 4. Blair SL, Thompson K, Rococco J, Malcarne V, Beitsch PD, Ollila DW. <u>Attaining negative margins in breast</u> <u>conservation operations: Is there a consensus among breast surgeons?</u> J Am Coll Surg.2009;209:608-613

## REFERENCES

- 5. Giuliano AE, Hunt KK, Ballman KV, et al. <u>Axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection in women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: a randomized clinical trial</u>. JAMA. 2011;305(6):569-575.
- 6. Krag DN, Anderson SJ, Julian TB, et al. <u>Sentinel-lymph-node resection compared with conventional</u> <u>axillary-lymph-node dissection in clinically node-negative patients with breast cancer: overall</u> <u>survival findings from the NSABP B-32 randomised phase 3 trial</u>. Lancet Oncol. 2011;11(10):927-933.
- 7. Fisher B, Redmond C, Poisson R, Margolese R, Wolmark N, Wickerham DL, Fisher E, Deutsch M, Caplan R, Pilch Y, Glass A, Shibata H, Lerner H, Terz J, and Sidorovich L. <u>Eight-Year Results of a</u> <u>Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Total Mastectomy and Lumpectomy With or Without</u> <u>Irradiation in the Treatment of Breast Cancer</u>. New England Journal of Medicine 320:822-828, 1989

## REFERENCES

- 8. Fisher B, Redmond C, Fisher ER, Bauer M, Wolmark N, Wickerham DL, Deutsch M, Montague E, Margolese R, and Foster R. <u>Ten-Year Results of a Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Radical Mastectomy and Total</u> <u>Mastectomy With or Without Resection</u> New England Journal of Medicine 312:674-681, 1985
- 9. Fisher B, Anderson S, Redmond C, Wolmark N, Wickerham DL, and Cronin W. <u>Reanalysis and Results After</u> 12 Years of Follow-up in a Randomized Clinical Trial Comparing Total Mastectomy with Lumpectomy with or without Irradiation in the Treatment of Breast Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine 333:22:1456-1461, 1995
- 10.Boughey JC, Suman VJ, Mittendorf EA, Ahrendt GM, Wilke LG, Taback B, Leitch AM, Kuerer HM, Bowling M, Flippo-Morton TS, Byrd DR, Ollila DW, Julian TB, McLaughlin SA, McCall L, Symmans WF, Le-Petross HT, Haffty BG, Buchholz TA, Nelson H, Hunt KK; Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology. <u>Sentinel Lymph Node</u> Surgery after Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Node-Positive Breast Cancer: The ACOSOG Z1071 (Alliance) Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2013 Oct 9; 310(14):1455-61.PubMed ID: 24101169